Heterosis in ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula Roxb.) using hermaphrodite lines

Pradip Karmakar^{*}, A.D. Munshi, T.K. Behera and A.K. Sureja

Division of Vegetable Science, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012

ABSTRACT

Seven parental lines, including two hermaphrodite lines and 28 F_1 hybrids of ridge gourd obtained from half diallel mating, were studied to investigate the extent of heterosis for yield and its contributing characters during spring summer and rainy season for two years. The three top performing parents, *viz.* P_1 (DRG-2), P_2 (Pusa Nasdar) and P_7 (Satputia Small) were observed for total yield per plant. Appreciable heterosis was observed over better parents and top parent for all the characters studied. In order of merit, $P_1 \times P_7$, $P_1 \times P_6$ and $P_2 \times P_7$ were found to be best heterotic combinations as they exhibited significant heterosis percentage for yield per plant over the top parent. The high yielding F_1 hybrids $P_1 \times P_7$ (DRG-2 × Satputia Small), $P_1 \times P_6$ (DRG-2 × Satputia Long) and $P_2 \times P_7$ (Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Small) were early in maturity, had high number of fruits per plant and showed 91.36, 84.84 and 73.11% heterosis for yield over top parent and may be recommended for commercial exploitation.

Key words: F₁ hybrids, heterosis, ridge gourd, hermaphrodite line.

INTRODUCTION

Luffa acutangula (ridge gourd) is very popular vegetable in the tropical and subtropical regions. In India, they are eaten boiled or in curry (mixed with potato or sole). The tender fruits along with *khus* seeds make an excellent dish. In Japan, the young fruits are sliced and dried and kept for future use. The young insipid leaves are consumed in Malaysia (Porterfield, 11). In African countries, leaves are used as leafy vegetable and seeds are used in several soup and sauce preparations (Adebooye, 2).

It is an important component of crop rotation during pre-kharif and kharif season in North India and is cultivated both on commercial scale and in kitchen gardens. Ridge gourd is generally monoecious in nature with pistillate flowers borne in leaf axil and staminate flowers in raceme. Monoecious ridge gourd generally produces solitary long fruits of 15-30 cm in length with prominent ribbed and rough fruit skin, but it has an ancestral form "Satputia" found in Bihar, which is hermaphrodite in nature and was given a separate taxonomic status L. hermaphrodita, having cluster bearing habit. Though, Ram et al. (12) studied variability and correlation coefficients among the ridge gourd hermaphrodite lines, but the breeding potentiality of hermaphrodite sex form in combination with monoecious ridge gourd is yet to be explored for different economically important traits. Despite its importance and diversified use, attention to the improvement on yielding ability and other characters

has been very limited, which is prominent from the presence of very few varieties for commercial cultivation. Being monoecious and essentially crosspollinated, it provides ample scope for successful exploitation of hybrid vigour. Abhusaleha and Dutta (1) and Hedau and Sirohi (7) reported earliness, higher number of fruits, bigger fruit size and higher total yield per plant over better and top parent in monoecious × monoecious cross combination, but till now no information is available about the potentiality of hermaphrodite lines in ridge gourd improvement and heterotic effect of monoecious × hermaphrodite cross combination for earliness, yield, its attributing characters and different fruit quality parameters. Hence, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the performance of hybrids of ridge gourd along with their parents for yield and related traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during spring summer of 2007-08 and rainy season of 2008-09 at Research Farm of Division of Vegetable Science, IARI, New Delhi. Seven genetically diverse inbreds of ridge gourd including two hermaphrodite lines, *viz.*, DRG-2 (P₁), Pusa Nasdar (P₂), Utkal Tripti (P₃), Arka Sumeet (P₄), HARG-110 (P₅), Satputia Long (P₆) and Satputia Small (P₇) were crossed in 7 × 7 half-diallel (excluding reciprocals) mating scheme (Hayman, 6) to obtain 21 F₁ hybrid combinations. Twenty one F₁ hybrids along with seven parents were evaluated in field for heterosis under randomized block design with three replications. The crops were sown in rows

^{*}Corresponding author's present address: Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, PO Jakhini, Varanasi 221 305, Uttar Pradesh; E-mail: pradip9433@gmail.com

of 2.5 m with 75 cm spacing between the plants.	
All the recommended package of practices was	
followed to grow a successful crop. Out of 20 plants,	
10 were randomly marked for taking observations.	
Observations on individual plant basis were recorded	
on nine quantitative characters, viz. node number of	
first female flower, days to first productive flower, <i>i.e.</i> ,	
female or hermaphrodite flower anthesis, days to first	
fruit harvest, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm),	
average fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant,	
vine length (m) and total fruit yield per plant (kg).	
Heterosis was calculated in the favorable direction	
over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and top	
parent (TP).	

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed that there was highly significant difference among the parental lines with respect to different characters studied including total yield per plant (data not presented). The mean performance of the 7 parental lines together with their 21 F₁ hybrids is given in Tables 1 & 2, respectively. Range of mean values of parents, F₁ hybrids and heterosis percentage are presented in Table 3. In the present study, it was evident that among 7 parents, Satputia Small (P₇) had shortest vine length, lowest node number of first productive flower, lowest days to first productive flower opening, minimum days to first fruit harvest and maximum number of fruits per plant. Pusa Nasdar (P2) and HARG-110 (P5) had the highest fruit length and diameter. While DRG-2 reported maximum individual fruit weight and yield per plant. The study of heterosis revealed that range of mean values in F, hybrids was higher than that of parents for all the characters studied, except vine length, node to first productive flower, days to anthesis of first productive flower and first harvest.

Earliness, which is one of the most important parameter in hybrids is indicated by node to first productive flower, number of days for first productive flower anthesis and first harvest. In order of merit the best 3 F, hybrids, which gave highest performance over top parent in relation to earliness includes Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Small (-22.55%), Arka Sumeet × Satputia Small (-19.57%) and Satputia Long × Satputia Small (-15.75%) for vine length; Satputia Long × Satputia Small (-44.31%), DRG-2 × Satputia Small (-32.16) and Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Small (-29.80%) for node number for first productive flower opening; Satputia Long × Satputia Small (-21.05%), DRG-2 × Satputia Long (-14.47%) and DRG-2 × Satputia Small (-10.79%) for days to first productive flower anthesis, while crosses like Satputia Long × Satputia Small (-19.62%), DRG-2 × Satputia Small (-16.20%) and

Table 1. Mean perf	ormance of pare	nts for diffe	rent quantitative	traits including	yield per pl	ant in ridge ç	gourd.			
Parent	Denomination	Vine	Node to first	Days to first	Days	Fruit	Fruit	No. of	Av. fruit	Yield per
	used for	length	productive	productive	to first	length	dia.	fruits per	weight	plant
	parents	(m)	flower	flower	harvest	(cm)	(cm)	plant	(B)	(g)
			appearance	anthesis						
DRG-2 (P ₁)	DG	5.23	13.28	47.52	53.77	25.92	5.40	18.09	115.60	2092.17
Pusa Nasdar (P ₂)	Nd	4.76	14.23	51.87	56.20	30.60	5.57	11.87	109.80	1303.93
Utkal Tripti (P ₃)	UT	5.35	16.25	64.14	70.07	17.41	5.33	9.82	101.35	996.03
Arka Sumeet (P ₄)	AS	4.57	15.26	55.54	61.83	21.80	5.15	9.90	107.25	1062.07
HARG-110 (P ₅)	ÐН	4.91	16.42	52.30	59.13	16.19	6.28	8.85	105.90	937.97
Satputia Long (P_6)	SPL	3.12	00.6	40.60	45.61	10.72	4.10	31.20	40.09	1250.93
Satputia Small (P_{7})	SPS	2.79	8.50	38.00	43.63	8.55	4.43	37.15	33.02	1227.07
Mean		4.39	13.28	50.00	55.75	18.74	5.18	18.13	87.57	1267.17
Range		2.79-	8.50-	38.00-	43.63-	8.55-	4.10-	8.85-	33.02-	937.97-
		5.35	16.42	64.14	70.07	30.60	6.28	37.15	115.60	2092.17
CD at 5%		0.065	0.547	0.784	0.580	0.561	0.297	1.624	1.203	37.150

I.

Heterosis in Ridge Gourd

Hybrid	Vine length (m)	Node to first productive flower appearance	Days to first productive flower anthesis	Day to first harvest	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit dia. (cm)	No. of fruits per plant	Av. fruit weight (g)	Yield per plant (g)
DG × PN	5.30	11.83	45.85	52.57	36.13	6.09	23.95	125.45	3005.32
DG × UT	5.18	14.65	50.27	55.97	27.13	5.77	18.64	121.50	2265.73
DG × AS	5.22	13.00	46.94	52.94	30.17	5.62	22.26	123.09	2740.03
DG × HG	5.11	15.48	46.33	52.33	24.47	5.61	17.93	113.20	2030.33
DG × SPL	2.99	6.41	33.90	38.50	16.72	5.65	41.80	92.50	3867.07
DG × SPS	2.36	5.77	32.50	36.57	14.20	5.09	47.71	83.90	4003.48
PN × UT	4.94	13.83	52.23	55.04	25.30	5.76	13.43	114.75	1541.63
PN × AS	4.50	13.65	51.03	55.54	32.38	5.62	16.06	117.35	1884.92
PN × HG	5.18	15.00	50.20	54.37	21.77	6.06	12.94	115.30	1492.13
PN × SPL	2.77	6.90	35.44	41.11	17.12	5.72	37.73	93.10	3512.83
PN × SPS	2.16	5.97	34.13	38.63	14.74	6.92	41.88	86.47	3621.85
UT × AS	4.46	15.00	54.47	60.51	27.00	5.50	12.01	110.50	1327.63
UT × HG	4.75	15.58	53.30	61.68	22.40	6.04	12.10	107.67	1303.83
UT × SPL	3.04	7.23	40.12	45.04	15.05	5.39	33.06	90.65	2997.18
UT × SPS	2.46	6.57	35.10	40.54	13.94	5.89	37.26	75.20	2802.03
AS × HG	5.05	15.10	50.52	58.33	20.21	5.63	10.76	111.45	1200.00
AS × SPL	2.89	8.33	36.18	42.72	16.25	4.81	35.12	91.30	3207.02
AS × SPS	2.25	6.83	36.00	42.17	14.62	7.02	42.13	77.65	3272.03
HG × SPL	2.86	8.33	35.67	42.44	15.03	5.42	31.95	87.85	2806.87
HG × SPS	2.64	8.00	34.08	39.00	14.33	6.32	35.66	76.50	2728.43
SPL × SPS	2.35	4.73	30.00	35.07	11.17	4.71	37.05	42.10	1560.00
Mean	3.74	10.39	42.11	47.67	20.48	5.74	27.69	97.98	2531.92
Range	2.16 -	4.73 -	30.00 -	35.07 -	11.17 -	4.71 -	10.76 -	42.10 -	1200.00 -
	5.30	15.58	54.47	61.68	36.13	7.02	47.71	125.45	4003.48
CD at 5%	0.143	0.495	16.186	0.639	0.882	0.215	1.616	1.584	57.892

Table 2. Mean performance of hybrids for different quantitative traits including yield per plant in ridge gourd.

DRG-2 × Satputia Long (-11.76%) for days to first fruit harvest. From the above findings it was quite clear that, monoecious × hermaphrodite hybrids manifested appreciable amount of heterosis for earliness as compared to monoecious × monoecious hybrids. Yield is the foremost character for any breeding programme. It is a complex trait resulting from the interaction of its component characters of a crop. Moll and Stuber (9) pointed out that heterosis estimates should indicate whether heterozygotes or homozygotes represent the more ideal genotype.

In ridge gourd, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight and fruit size are the direct component of yield. Crosses DRG-2 × Pusa Nasdar (18.08%) and Pusa Nasdar × Arka Sumeet (5.83%) were found to be best heterotic combinations for fruit length. For fruit diameter Arka Sumeet × Satputia Small (11.78%) and Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Small (10.14%) had highest top parent heterosis. F_1 hybrids DRG-2 × Satputia Small (28.43%), Arka Sumeet × Satputia Small (13.41%) and Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Small (12.73%) exhibited higher top parent heterosis for number of fruits per plant. For total yield per plant, F_1 hybrids DRG-2 × Satputia Small (91.36%), DRG-2 × Satputia Long (84.84%) and Pusa Nasdar × Satputia Sma (73.11%) recorded higher heterosis over top parent.

The above result also indicated that maximum yield per plant in the above mentioned hybrids was attributed by maximum number of fruits per plant. Therefore, monoecious × hermaphrodite hybrids were found to have maximum heterosis for earliness

Table 3. Range of	mean values of parent	s, F ₁ hybrids and heterc	sis percentage (over mi	d, better and top parents) fo	r different quantitative traits.
Parameter	Vine length (m)	Node to first productive flower	Days to first productive flower	Day to first harvest	Fruit length (cm)
		appearance	anthesis		
Range of mean v	alues				
Parents	2.79 to 5.35	8.5 to 16.42	38 to 64.14	43.63 to 70.07	8.55 to 30.60
щ	2.16 to 5.30	4.73 to 15.58	30.00 to 54.57	35.07 to 61.68	11.17 to 36.13
Range of heterosi	(%) s				
M.P.	-2.17 to -42.74	-0.79 to -47.51	-3.62 to -31.27	-3.55 to -28.69	5.39 to 37.71
B.P.	-1.08 to -22.55	-1.05 to -44.31	-1.17 to -21.05	-1.24 to -19.62	4.20 to 28.64
T.P.	-0.84 to -22.55	-1.96 to -44.31	-4.78 to -21.05	-2.08 to -19.62	5.83 to 18.08
No. of heterotic ci	rosses over				
M.P.	16	20	21	21	14
B.P.	15	18	18	19	7
T.P.	7	1	10	10	N
Three top parents					
	SPS (2.79)	SPS (8.5)	SPS (38.0)	SPS (43.63)	PN (30.60)
	SPL (3.12)	SPL (9.0)	SPL (40.6)	SPL (45.61)	DG (25.92)
	AS (4.50)	DG (13.2)	DG (47.52)	DG (53.77)	AS (21.80)
Three top F ₁ s with	n heterosis (%)				
M.P	PN × SPS (-42.74)	PN × SPS (-47.51)	UT × SPS (-31.27)	UT × SPS (-28.69)	UT × AS (37.71)
	DG × SPS (-41.11)	DG × SPS (-47.05)	AS × SPL (-24.73)	DG × SPS (-24.91)	UT × HG (33.31)
	UT × SPS (-39.66)	UT × SPS (-46.94)	HG × SPS (-24.51)	HG × SPS (-24.10)	DG × PN (27.87)
B.P.	PN × SPS (-22.55)	SPL × SPS (-44.31)	SPL × SPS (-21.05)	SPL × SPS (-19.62)	UT × HG (28.64)
	AS × SPS (-19.57)	DG × SPS (-32.16)	DG × SPL (-16.5)	DG × SPS (-16.20)	UT × AS (23.85)
	SPL × SPS (-15.75)	PN × SPS (-29.80)	DG × SPS (-14.47)	DG × SPL (-11.76)	DG × PN (18.08)
T.P.	PN × SPS (-22.55)	SPL × SPS (-44.31)	SPL × SPS (-21.05)	SPL × SPS (-19.62)	DG × PN (18.08)
	AS × SPS (-19.57)	DG × SPS (-32.16)	DG × SPL (-14.47)	DG × SPS (-16.20)	PN × AS (5.83)
	SPL × SPS (-15.75)	PN × SPS (-29.80)	DG × SPS (-10.79)	DG × SPL (-11.76)	I
Best F ₁ hybrid	PN × SPS	SPL × SPS DG × SPS	DG × SPL DG × SPL	SPL × SPS DG × SPS	DG × PN

+ 4iffo ې ب -

Indian Journal of Horticulture, March 2014

Contd...

Parameter	Fruit dia.	No. of fruits	Av.fruit weight	Yield per plant
	(cm)	per plant	(6)	(B)
Range of mean values				
Parents	4.10 to 6.28	8.85 to 37.15	33.02 to 115.60	937.97 to 2092.17
ц	4.71 to 7.02	10.76 to 47.71	42.10 to 125.45	1200.00 to 4003.48
Range of heterosis (%)				
M.P.	2.34 to 46.50	8.41 to 79.09	2.20 to 28.18	20.00 to 186.2
B.P.	0.74 to 36.31	0.30 to 35.30	1.67 to 8.52	8.30 to 177.76
T.P.	0.69 to 11.78	0.30 to 28.43	1.51 to 8.52	8.30 to 91.36
No. of heterotic crosses over				
M.P.	19	21	21	21
B.P.	14	18	10	20
T.P.	3	9	4	13
Three top parents	HG (6.28)	SPS (37.15)	DG (115.60)	DG (2092.17)
	PN (5.57)	SPL (31.20)	PN (109.80)	PN (1303.93)
	DG (5.40)	DG (18.09)	AS (107.25)	SPS (1250.93)
Three top F ₁ s with heterosis (%)				
M.P.	AS × SPS (46.50)	AS × SPS (79.09)	UT × SPL (28.18)	PN × SPS (186.20)
	PN × SPS (38.33)	PN × SPL (75.20)	PN × SPL (24.33)	AS × SPS (185.88)
	UT × SPS (26.11)	DG × SPS (72.74)	AS × SPL (23.91)	AS × SPL (177.33)
B.P.	AS × SPS (36.31)	PN × AS (35.30)	DG× PN (8.52)	PN × SPL (177.76)
	PN × SPS (24.25)	DG × SPL (33.97)	PN × AS (6.86)	PN × SPS (169.4)
	UT × SPS (10.44)	DG × PN (32.39)	DG × AS (6.46)	AS × SPS (166.65)
T.P.	AS × SPS (11.78)	DG × SPS (28.43)	DG × PN (8.52)	DG × SPS (91.36)
	PN × SPS (10.14)	AS × SPS (13.41)	DG × AS (6.48)	DG × SPL (84.84)
	HG × SPS (0.69)	PN × SPS (12.73)	DG × UT (5.10)	PN × SPS (73.11)
Best F ₁ hybrid	AS × SPS	DG × SPS	DG × PN	DG × SPS

Heterosis in Ridge Gourd

and total yield. Haves and Jones (5) reported the first generation crosses in cucumber frequently exhibit high parent heterosis due to increased fruit size and fruit number per plant. Therefore, yield can more accurately be estimated by the number of fruits per plant, and it would be possible to achieve yield improvement in this crop by manipulating this particular trait. Hence, breeders should concentrate mainly on fruit number rather than fruit size, in their efforts to increase yield. Naliyadhara et al. (10) reported heterosis for high yield and earliness in sponge gourd, while Mole et al. (8) and Shaha and Kale (13) also observed heterotic effect for earliness, yield and fruit characters in the heterotic combinations in ridge gourd. Ahmed et al. (3) observed positive and significant standard heterosis for yield in ridge gourd. In accordance to the present findings, Grafius (4) was of the opinion that hybrid vigour of even small magnitude of individual yield components may have additive or synergistic effect on the end product, as had mentioned that heterosis for yield is the result of interaction of simultaneous increase in the expression of heterosis for yield components.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abusaleha and Dutta, O.P. 1994. Manifestation of heterosis in ridge gourd. *Indian J. Hort.* **51**: 389-92.
- Adebooye, O.C. 2009. The properties of seed oil and protein of three underutilized edible Cucurbitaceae of Southwest Nigeria. *Acta Hort.* 806: 347-54.
- Ahmed, M.A., Reddy, I.P. and Neeraja, G. 2006. Combining ability and heterosis for fruit yield and yield components in ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.]. *J. Res. ANGRAU*, 34: 15-20.

- Grafius, J.E. 1959. Heterosis in barley. *Agron. J.* 51: 551-54.
- 5. Hayes, H.K. and Jones, D.R. 1916. First generation crosses in cucumbers. *Conn. Storrs Agril. Stat. Ref.* **40**: 319-22.
- 6. Hayman, B.I. 1954. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. *Genetics*, **39**: 789-809.
- Hedau, N.K. and Sirohi, P.S. 2004. Heterosis studies in ridge gourd. *Indian J. Hort.* 61: 236-39.
- 8. Mole, T.J., Devi, S.N., Rajan, S. and Sadhankumar, P.G. 2001. Heterosis and combining ability in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb.). *Veg. Sci.* **28**: 165-67.
- 9. Moll, R.H. and Stuber, C.W. 1974. Quantitative genetics empirical result relevant to plant breeding. *Adv. Agron.* **26**: 277-313.
- Naliyadhara, M.V., Dhaduk, L.K., Barad, A.V., Purohit, V.L. and Vachhani, J.H. 2007. Heterosis for fruit yield and its components in sponge gourd [*Luffa cylindrica* (Roem.) L.]. National J. Plant Improv. 9: 132-35.
- 11. Porterfield, W.M. 1955. Loofah-the sponge gourd. *Econ. Bot.* **9**: 211-23.
- 12. Ram, D., Rai, M., Verma, A. and Singh, Y. 2006 Genetic variability and association analysis in *Luffa* sp. *Indian J. Hort.* **63**: 294-97.
- Shaha, S.R. and Kale, P.N. 2003. Heterosis studies in ridge gourd. *J. Maharashtra Agril. Univ.* 28: 334-36.

Received: December, 2011; Revised: December, 2013; Accepted: February, 2014