# Dynamics of growth and yield of garlic in variable planting time and applied nutrients

Subrata Chand, P.K. Chattopadhyay\*\* and M.A. Hasan\*

Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Faculty of Horticulture Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur 741 252

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in *rabi* season to assess the performance of garlic under three sowing dates and eight nutrient levels. Vegetative growth parameters significantly influenced with the earliest dates of planting (November 15) along with NPK at 60, 60, 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with either mustard cake or neemcake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or FYM (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). A reduction in nutrient levels and delay in planting beyond November 15 increased in shoot/ bulb ratio. The migration coefficient gradually decreased with delay in planting beyond November 15. The weight of individual clove was reduced by nearly 60% with delayed planting on December 25. Maximum yield of bulb was recorded (5.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with early planting date (November 15) along with application on NPK at the highest level in combination with mustard cake @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Compared to other dates of plantings under West Bengal conditions.

Key words: Garlic, planting time, nutrients, yield.

### INTRODUCTION

Garlic (Allium sativum L.) is highly nutritive among all the bulb crops. In West Bengal garlic is grown mainly in the Gangetic plains and Terai zones. The performance of garlic largely depends on the time of planting as the vegetative growth is encouraged under short day and cool temperature. While long day and high temperature are favourable for better bulb development. The climatic conditions during the rabi season in the Gangetic alluvial soils of West Bengal indicates that vegetative growth of garlic should be completed within January and accordingly planting in the field has to be done. Nutrients in right proportion play an important role in influencing the growth and yield of garlic (Ahmed et al. 1; Borabash and Kochina, 2; Das et al. 3; Naik and Hosamani, 7; Sardi and Timar, 11; Singh and Singh, 14; Valdes et al. 16; Zhang et al. 18). It was felt worth while to conduct a study on time of planting and level of nutrients for commercial production of garlic, a potential spice crop of West Bengal plains.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

 $\begin{array}{l} T_{3}^{}-N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O @ 60:60:100 \ kg \ ha^{\cdot1}+FYM @ \\ 30 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1};T_{4}^{}-N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O @ 45:45:60 \ kg \ ha^{\cdot1}+ \\ mustard \ cake \ @ \ 3.75 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1};T_{5}^{}-N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O @ 45 \\ : 45:90 \ kg \ ha^{\cdot1}+neem \ cake \ @ \ 3.75 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1};T_{6}^{}-N: \\ P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O @ \ 45:45:90 \ kg \ ha^{\cdot1}+FYM \ @ \ 22.5 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1}: \\ T_{7}^{}-N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O \ @ \ 30:30:60 \ kg \ ha^{\cdot1}+neem \ cake \\ @ \ 2.5 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1} \ and \ T_{8}^{}-N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O \ @ \ 30:30:60 \ kg \\ ha^{\cdot1}+FYM \ @ \ 15 \ t \ ha^{\cdot1}). \end{array}$ 

Urea (N-source) was applied as top dressing in two equal splits at 30 and 45 days after planting. Single superphosphate (P-source) was applied as basal dressing during land preparation. Muriate of potash (K-source) was applied in three splits at land preparation, 30 and 45 days after planting. Garlic cloves were planted 15 cm apart in a row and row to row distance was 20 cm. Timely weeding and plant protection measures were adopted to ensure normal crop growth. Irrigation was given 10 to 15 days interval depending upon the soil moisture condition of the experimental plots.

Of the various characters, plant height, basal girth, number of green leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, total number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, root length, root diameter, total number of roots plant<sup>-1</sup>, weight of bulbs, number of cloves bulbs<sup>-1</sup> weight of individual cloves, bulb sizes (length and girth), clove size (length and girth), were noted. Besides, leaf area index (LAI), crop growth rate (CGR), specific leaf weight (SLW), dry matter content of plant, shoot : bulb ratio, migration coefficient were also computed (Watson, 17). Statistical analysis was done in split plot design following the method described by Panse and Sukhatme (8).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's E-mail: mdahasan@yahoo.com

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deptt. of Fruits and Orchard Management, BCKV, Mohanpur.

Table 1. Effect of nutrients and planting date on growth and development of garlic.	ents and	planting dat	te on grov	vth and develc	pment of ga	ırlic.					
Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Plant girth (cm)	Total leaves plant <sup>1</sup>	Leaf area plant¹ (m²)	Leaf area index	Root length (cm)	Toot number	Root dry matter (g)	Specific leaf weight (g cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Shoot / bulb ratio	Migration coefficient
Nutrient											
Т,	60.9	3.82	15.6	123.6	0.412	7.82	53.4	10.04	0.009	0.149	87.1
$T_2$	63.1	3.71	15.6	106.8	0.363	8.17	51.6	10.02	0.009	0.151	86.9
T <sub>3</sub>	59.1	3.58	15.9	105.4	0.353	8.32	53.0	8.58	0.009	0.152	86.9
$T_4$	56.1	3.14	14.9	85.8	0.286	7.61	44.0	6.15	0.009	0.147	87.3
$T_{5}$	57.8	3.12	15.1	74.9	0.250	7.56	47.2	6.90	0.009	0.161	86.2
T <sub>6</sub>	56.4	3.19	15.2	75.3	0.251	7.62	35.2	6.68	0.009	0.156	86.3
$T_7$	48.0	2.81	14.5	60.1	0.200	7.45	33.2	5.32	0.008	0.188	84.3
$T_{g}$	52.3	2.83	14.6	61.8	0.206	7.11	36.2	4.16	0.009	0.128	84.7
T <sub>9</sub>	52.6	2.76	15.1	61.0	0.203	7.12	44.3	4.97	0.008	0.179	85.2
CD (P = 0.05)	5.355	0.548	0.318	22.227	0.074	0.330	8.894	1.940	NS	0.022	1.569
Planting date											
\$ V	56.3	3.22	20.0	84.1	0.250	7.64	44.3	6.98	0.010	0.174	87.1
$S_2$	56.6	2.96	15.2	67.1	0.224	6.52	42.9	8.95	0.007	0.165	86.8
Š	51.6	2.62	10.1	34.2	0.112	6.41	36.1	5.34	0.008	0.199	84.4
CD (P = 0.05)	0.636	0.033	0.171	0.916	0.003	0.063	0.822	0.218	0.0003	0.011	0.848
Planting date	3.87	NS	0.670	5.578	0.018	0.385	2.209	1.325	0.0017	NS	NS

Nutritional Studies on Garlic

Treatment	Days after planting							
	65	85	105	125				
Nutrient								
T <sub>1</sub>	0.038	0.182	0.203	0.326				
T <sub>2</sub>	0.044	0.176	0.225	0.322				
T <sub>3</sub>	0.043	0.168	0.180	0.268				
T <sub>4</sub>	0.030	0.115	0.168	0.194				
T <sub>5</sub>	0.033	0.113	0.176	0.248				
T <sub>6</sub>	0.033	0.109	0.138	0.216				
T <sub>7</sub>	0.027	0.081	0.133	0.182				
T <sub>8</sub>	0.020	0.085	0.076	0.122				
T <sub>9</sub>	0.018	0.092	0.122	0.156				
CD (P = 0.05)	0.009	0.033	0.052	0.089				
Planting date								
S <sub>1</sub>	0.017	0.049	0.043	0.226				
S <sub>2</sub>	0.031	0.121	0.273	-				
S <sub>3</sub>	0.047	0.204	-	-				
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	0.038	0.108	-				

#### Indian Journal of Horticulture, September 2010

**Table 2.** Effect of nutrients and planting dates on crop growth rate  $(q/m^2/day)$  in garlic.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height and girth were significantly influenced by different dates of planting and nutrient application. There was an increase in plant height and basal girth with early planting (November 15). The increase in plant height was more pronounced when the plants received NPK nutrients at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with either mustard cake (5 t ha-1), neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or FYM (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The beneficial influence on plant height and girth due to early planting had been reported by Das et al. (3), and Qaryouti and Kasarawi (9). Beneficial effect of NPK nutrient in combination with organic manure has also been reported by Das et al. (4) and Seno et al. (11). Singh and Singh (14), recorded better growth and yield in garlic with higher nitrogen rate (160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and early planting (10 September).

The number of green leaves retained plant<sup>-1</sup> was found to be maximum (105 DAP) with 15 November planting. It decreased approaching harvest stage. Significant influence was also recorded by the different levels of nutrients. Incorporation of neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with NPK nutrient at 60, 60, 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found more effective on retention of green leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>. In general, a reduction in nutrient levels and delay in planting decreased the number of green leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> during later stages of crop growth also finds support from Das *et al.* (3). The root length continuously increased upto 105 DAP with the earliest planting (November 15), while the increase was continued upto 85 DAP and 65 DAP with planting on December 5 and December 25. The response of different levels of nutrient on root length was very distinct and significant throughout the growth period. Incorporation of FYM @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> found most effective in improving root length of garlic. However, the interaction effect had no significant effect on root length. The total number of roots plant<sup>-1</sup> on November 15 planting, however, exceeded the root number of late planting garlic. The nutrient levels, N, P and K at 60, 60, 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with mustard / neem cake @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> most remarkable and significantly influenced the root number plant<sup>-1</sup> followed by a reduction in nutrient levels by 25 and 50 percent respectively.

The rate of dry matter accumulation was nearly 3 times in December 5 planting over the earliest planting on November 15. Incorporation of mustard cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or FYM (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) along with N, P and K at 60, 60, 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on dry matter accumulation was more pronounced although statistically at par. The increase in dry matter content with the earliest planting (November 15) was more marked and pronounced after 125 DAP. The interaction of planting dates on nutrients had no significant influence on dry matter content up to 85 DAP.

Early planting (November 15) had lower LAI during initial growth stages (upto 65 - 85 DAP). LAI was recorded highest (0.250) with earliest planting after 125 DAP. Higher LAI was recorded when plants received NPK at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with either neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), mustard cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or

FYM (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The interaction of nutrient × planting dates showed significant influence at later stages of crop growth. The CGR of garlic with earliest planting lagged behind the later planting dates upto 105 DAP which however, increased many fold during 105 -125 DAP. Application of N, P and K at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) exhibited highest CGR (0.381 m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of second planting date (December 15). A reduction in nutrient level by 50 percent under T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> treatments decreased the CGR by nearly 50% as compared to  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ treatments. The SLW was recorded highest (0.015 g / cm<sup>2</sup>) with December 25 planting followed by 0.012 g / cm<sup>2</sup> with November 15 / December 5 planting. The CGR recorded higher also with the application of N, P and K at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with either mustard cake @ 5 t ha-1 (T,) or neem cake @ 5 t ha-1 (T<sub>2</sub>). FYM @ 30 t ha-1 (T<sub>2</sub>). A delay in planting beyond November 15 increased the shoot / bulb ratio. In contrast the effect of nutrient on shoot / bulb ratio gradually was more pronounced and significant. The highest value of migration coefficient (87.1) was recorded with the first planting date and it decreased gradually with delay in planting. The different levels of nutrient produced a significant difference in migration coefficient.

The size of bulb was recorded maximum (length 4.69 cm and girth 12.1 cm) with the first planting while it was maximum with the nutrients N, P, K at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with mustard cake @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $(T_1)$  followed by  $T_3$  and  $T_2$  treatments. Rahman and Talukdar (10) also observed a gradual decline in bulb size in garlic after November 15 planting. Early planting (November 15) produced the maximum length (4.21 cm) of clove followed by 3.71 and 3.55 cm with second and third planting date. Delayed planting reduced drastically the clove size. Application of N, P and K at 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with FYM @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>a</sub>) produced clove with highest girth (3.56 cm) followed by 3.47 cm in T, treatment. The results corroborate the findings of Hillman and Noordivati (6). It may be mentioned here that increased bulb weight and bulb yield in garlic were recorded with 120 - 75 - 75 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> by Ahmed et al (1). The weight of bulb was recorded higher (16.2 g) with November 5 planting followed by 12.6 g (December 5) and 8.8 g (December 25). Thus delay in planting gradually decreased the weight of bulb. Weight of individual clove was recorded maximum (0.997 g) with the November 15 planting followed by 0.809 g with the December 15 planting. The individual clove weight was reduced by 60 per cent with planting on December 25. The result finds support of the work of Rahman and Talukdar (10). The effect of nutrients on interaction of nutrients x planting date did not produce significant effect in this regard. The number of cloves bulb<sup>-1</sup> did not vary significantly due to different planting dates. Application of different levels of nutrients was however, influenced significantly the number of cloves bulb<sup>-1</sup>. A reduction in nutrient level by 50 per cent decreased the number of cloves bulb<sup>-1</sup> by more than 35 per cent. The result supports the findings

Treatment	Yield	Yield	Weight of	Length of	Girth of	No. of	Weight of	Length of	Girth of
	(kg ha⁻¹)	(t ha-1)	bulb (g)	bulb (cm)	bulb (cm)	cloves	clove (g)	clove (cm)	clove (cm)
Nutrient									
T <sub>1</sub>	0.777	5.18	15.6	4.99	12.1	29.8	0.708	3.99	3.47
T <sub>2</sub>	0.769	5.13	15.4	4.92	12.1	27.8	0.753	3.89	3.28
T <sub>3</sub>	0.712	4.95	14.8	4.93	11.4	24.1	0.847	3.86	3.56
T <sub>4</sub>	0.637	4.25	12.7	4.60	11.3	24.9	0.731	3.61	3.28
T <sub>5</sub>	0.639	4.26	12.8	4.51	11.1	24.6	0.706	3.67	3.16
T <sub>6</sub>	0.632	4.21	12.6	4.49	10.8	22.9	0.764	3.81	3.13
T <sub>7</sub>	0.509	3.40	10.2	4.51	10.2	21.6	0.658	3.43	3.08
T <sub>8</sub>	0.472	3.15	9.4	4.31	10.2	18.7	0.752	3.71	3.22
T <sub>9</sub>	0.453	3.02	9.1	4.42	10.0	19.2	0.672	3.56	3.23
CD (P = 0.05)	0.021	0.223	1.300	0.371	0.623	5.350	NS	NS	0.255
Planting date									
S <sub>1</sub>	0.809	5.40	16.20	4.7	12.1	24.1	0.997	4.21	3.58
S <sub>2</sub>	0.629	4.19	12.60	4.60	11.4	20.5	0.809	3.71	3.43
S <sub>3</sub>	0.439	2.93	8.80	4.10	9.5	26.5	0.390	3.25	2.30
CD (P = 0.05)	0.100	0.667	2.012	0.424	0.710	NS	0.243	0.377	0.444

Table 3. Effect of nutrients and planting dates, on yield and yield attributing characters of garlic.

of Setty et al. (13). The bulb yield was recorded maximum (0.809 kg plot<sup>1</sup> and 5.40 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) with first planting date followed by 0.629 kg plot<sup>1</sup> and 4.19 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0.439 kg plot<sup>-1</sup> and 2.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with second and third planting dates respectively. The reduction in bulb yield was nearly 22 and 45 per cent with December 5 and December 25 planting respectively over 15 November planting. Higher yield with earlier planting was also obtained by Das et al. (3), and Rahaman and Talukdar (10). The higher bulb yield plot<sup>1</sup> and hectare<sup>-1</sup> recorded with application of NPK at 60, 60 and 120 kg  $h^{-1}$  along with mustard cake @ 5 t  $ha^{-1}$  (T<sub>2</sub>) and did not differ significantly with neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-i</sup>) application. Sirohi (15) obtained better performance with respect to bulb diameter, number of cloves bulb<sup>-1</sup> and bulb yield (5.5 cm, 37.2 and 100.1 of ha-1) respectively upon planting on 11 October at closer spacing  $(15 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ . Several workers have also reported the beneficial effect of NPK fertilizer in combination with organic manures in increasing the yield of garlic (Seno et al., 12; Zhang et al., 18).

It appears from the results that garlic should be planted during the second fortnight of November for better yield in the Gangetic alluvial soils of West Bengal. Regarding fertilizer schedule, NPK should be applied @ 60, 60 and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with incorporation of mustard cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or neem cake (5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or FYM (30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, Abbas, Muhammad, Sultan, Muhammad Bashir, Akhtak, Nawaz and Khan, Hakim. 2006. Effect of various levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on the yield of garlic. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 22: 81-89.
- 2. Borabash, O. Yu and Kochina, T. N. 1987. The effect of mineral fertilizers on garlic productivity. *Puto intensifikatsii Ovoschchevodsstva,* pp. 12-16.
- 3. Das, A.K., Sadhu, M.K. Som, M.G. and Bose, T.K. 1985. Effect of time of planting on growth and yield of multiple clove garlic (*Allium sativum*). *Indian Agric.* **29**: 177-81.
- Das, A.K., Som, M.G., Sadhu, M.K. and Bose, T.K. 1985. Response to varying level of N, P and K on growth and yield of multiple clove garlic (*Allium sativum*). *Indian Agric.* 29: 183-89.
- Heever, E. Vanden, Allemann, J. and Pretorius, J.C. 2008. Influence of nitrogen fertilizers on yield and autifungal bioactivity of *Tulbaghia violacea* L. *Human Exper. Toxicology*, 27: 851-57.
- 6. Hillman, Y. and Noordiyati, I. 1988. Equilibrium N, P and K fertilization trial on garlic in rice field. *Bull. Penetetian Hortikultura*, **16** : 48-53.

- Naik, B.H. and Hosamani, R.M. 2003. Standardisation of fertilization for garlic production under transitional tract of Karnataka. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 16: 103-7.
- 8. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1989. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 157-64.
- 9. Qaryouti, M.M. and Kasrawi, M.A. 1995. Storage temperature of seed bulbs and planting dates influence on garlic I. Emergence, vegetative growth, bulbing and maturity. *Adv. Hort. Sci.* **9**: 12-18.
- 10. Rahman, A.K.M. and Talukdar, M.R. 1986. Influence of date of planting and plant spacing on the growth and yield of garlic. *Bangladesh J. Agric.* **11**: 19-26.
- Sardi, K. and Timar, E. 2005. Responses of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) to varying fertilization levels and nutrient ratios. *Comm. Soil Sci. Pl. Anal.* 36: 373-79.
- 12. Seno, S.S., Saliba, G.G., Paula, F. J., Koga, P. S and de, Paulo, F. J. 1995. Utilization of phosphorus and farm yard manure in garlic cultivation. *Hort. Brasileira*, **13**: 190-99.
- Setty, B.S., Sulikeri, G.S. and Hulamani, N.C. 1989. Effect of N, P and K on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum*). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 2: 160-64.
- 14. Singh, S.K. and Singh, R.K. 2004. Interaction effect of nitrogen, planting time, and spacing on the performance of garlic *Allium sativum* Linn. cv. Yamuna Safed (G-1). *Biochem. Cellular Arch.* **4**: 123-28.
- Sirohi. H.S. 2005. Effect of time of planting and spacing on yield of garlic (*Allum sativum* L.). *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 34: 191-40.
- Valdes, M.W., Rodriguez, P.S. and Cardenas, J.R. 1999. Utilization of sludge obtained from anaerobic digestion of filter cake as a biofertilizer for the cultivation of garlic (*Allium porrum* L.). *Intersciencia.* 24: 264-367.
- 17. Watson, D.J. 1947. Comparative physiological studies on the growth of field crops. Variation in net assimilation rate and leaf area between species and varieties. *Ann. Bot.* **11**: 41-76.
- Zhang, X., Zhu, H.X. and Sun, C.H. 1988. Study on balance fertilization and NPK nutrients absorptionof garlic. *Soils Fert., Beijing*. No. 1, pp. 10-13.

Received: August, 2007; Revised: May, 2010; Accepted : June, 2010