

## Short communication

### Variability and character association study in colocasia

Pranabjyoti Sarma\*, V.B. Singh and D.S. Yadav

Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development,  
Nagaland University, Medziphema 797 106

Colocasia is grown as staple or subsistence crop throughout the tropics and sub-tropics. It is one of the vegetables which most extensively consumed by the low income group in the rural areas. Information regarding variability and character association studies in colocasia germplasm is lacking. Hence, the present by evaluating investigation was undertaken for such studies 25 clonal collections.

The experiment was conducted under the agro climatic condition of Horticulture Experimental Farm, Division of Horticulture, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya during 2004 and 2005. Twenty colocasia germplasm, viz., Meghalaya Collection-1, BCC-1, BCC-2, C-137, ML-1, ML-2, ML-9, Muktakeshi, Nainital, Kadma Local, BK Col-1, Nadia Local and C-3 collected from ICAR Complex, Umiam, Meghalaya; AR Col-5, AR Col-6, AR Col-7 and AR Col-8 collected from ICAR, Arunachal Pradesh Centre, Basar; AS Col-1 and AS Col-2 collected from Jorhat, Assam and Panchmukhi collected from NBPGR Regional Station, Umiam, Meghalaya were grown in a randomized block design in three replications. Individual plot size was 2.4 m × 2.25 m and a spacing of 60 cm × 45 cm was followed. All the recommended cultivation practices were followed to raise a good crop. The yield attributing parameters were recorded on randomly selected five plants in each replication and the yield was recorded on per plot basis. The mean data was subjected for analysis of variance as per the formula given by Panse and Sukhatme (7). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and their coefficient of variations were computed as per formula suggested by Miller *et al.* (4), while path coefficient analysis was done as per Wright (13), and Dewey and Lu (1).

The data presented in Table 1 showed highly significant differences among the germplasm for different traits and exhibited higher range of variation. The variations were high for characters like plant height (47.30 to 96.60 cm), number of leaves per plant (6.20 to 21.80), leaf area (302.43 to 841.81 cm<sup>2</sup>), moisture content of cormels (46.01 to 85.99 %), number of cormels per plant (2.67 to 10.27), weight of corms per

plant (94.00 to 440.00 g), weight of cormels per plant (101.00 to 338.00 g) and yield per hectare (106.30 to 259.81 q). Phenotypic coefficient of variance was higher than genotypic coefficient of variance for all the characters studied. Almost all the characters showed high heritability which indicates that characters are least influenced by the environmental effects. Heritability ranged from 22.10% (moisture content of petioles) to 98.77% (moisture content of cormels). In the present study, most of the characters showed high genetic advance that reveals that the traits are governed by additive genes and selection will be useful for improvement of these traits. Genetic advance was ranged from 2.81 (moisture content of petioles) to 101.17 (weight of corms per plant).

Table 2 reveals that yield was positively and significantly correlated with weight of corms per plant (0.918). Plant height was positively and significantly associated with leaf area (0.875) and leaf area index (0.868) while it had negative and significant association with weight of cormels per plant (-0.636), and number of cormels per plant (-0.603). Significant and positive correlation of number of leaves per plant was observed with number of suckers per plant (0.992). Number of suckers per plant had positive non-significant correlation with yield (0.248). Leaf area was positively and significantly associated with leaf area index (1.000) and weight of corms per plant (0.659). Again leaf area index was also positively and significantly associated with weight of corms per plant (0.687). Roychowdhury (11) also observed association of leaf area index with yield of colocasia. Nigam and Choubey (5) also studied correlation between leaf area index and yield. Positive correlation of weight of corms per plant and number of cormels per plant with yield was reported by Parthasarathy and Medhi (87). Moisture content of leaves had positive and significant correlation with moisture content of petioles (0.802) and weight of cormels per plant (0.879). Moisture content of cormels had positive correlation with yield (0.442). Positive association of number of corms per plant was observed with weight of corms per plant (0.207) and yield (0.302). Number of cormels per plant was positively and significantly correlated with weight

\*Corresponding author's present address: KVK (West Siang), ICAR, Arunachal Pradesh Centre, Basar 791 101; E-mail: pranabhorth@rediffmail.com

**Table 1.** Character with high genotypic coefficient of variance, heritability and genetic advance.

Character	Range	Phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV%)	Genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV%)	Heritability (Broad sense) (%)	Genetic advance as per cent of mean
Plant height (cm)	47.30-96.60	20.84	20.44	96.24	41.31
Number of leaves/plant	6.20-21.80	26.75	25.95	94.13	51.87
Number of suckers / plant	1.40-6.60	29.26	28.55	95.23	57.40
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	302.43-841.81	33.50	33.29	98.76	68.16
Leaf area index	0.11-0.31	32.96	32.40	96.59	65.59
Moisture content of leaves (%)	71.54-88.17	5.52	3.72	45.33	5.16
Moisture content of petioles (%)	70.85-94.24	6.17	2.90	22.10	2.81
Moisture content of cormels (%)	46.01-85.99	14.96	14.87	98.77	30.44
Number of corms/plant	1.07-2.13	19.74	18.25	85.47	34.75
Number of cormels/plant	2.67-10.27	29.00	27.91	92.60	55.32
Weight of corms/plant (g)	94.00-440.00	50.94	50.02	96.41	101.17
Weight of cormels / plant (g)	101.00-338.00	28.49	26.24	84.84	49.79
Yield (q/ha)	106.30-259.81	24.77	23.97	93.60	47.77

of cormels per plant (0.925). Weight of cormels per plant was also positively correlated with yield (0.134). The present investigation revealed that genotypic correlation coefficients was higher than phenotypic correlation coefficients for yield and yield contributing characters revealing that there was strong association between these two characters genetically.

Data presented in Table 3 revealed that leaf area had the highest positive direct effect (8.884) on yield followed by weight of corms per plant (8.861), weight of cormels per plant (8.079) and number of suckers per plant (0.264). Similar finding was also reported by Pandey *et al.* (6), Rodriguez *et al.* (10), Velayudhan *et al.* (12), Dwivedi and Sen (2), and Pillai *et al.* (9) in taro. Ghodake *et al.* (3) had also observed that the number of suckers/plant had the highest positive direct effect on cormel yield. Therefore, attention should be paid on selection based on these traits for total tuber yield improvement in colocasia.

## REFERENCES

1. Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.* **51**: 515-18.
2. Dwivedi, A.K. and Sen, H. 1999. Correlation and path coefficient studies in taro (*Colocasia esculenta*). *J. Root Crops*, **25**: 51-54.
3. Ghodake, R.D., Sar, S.A. and Wayi, B.M. 2008. Review of research in Papua New Guinea for sustainable production of taro (*Colocasia esculenta*). *Trop. Agric.* **75**: 134-38.
4. Miller, D.A., Williams, J.C., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, K.B. 1958. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and co-variances in upland cotton and their implication in selection. *Agronomy J.* **50**: 126-131.

**Table 2.** Prominent character association amongst different traits in colocassia.

Trait	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves/ plant	Number of suckers/ plant	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Leaf area index	Moisture content of leaves (%)	Moisture content of petioles (%)	Moisture content of cormels (%)	Number of cormels/ plant	Number of cormels/ plant	Weight of cormels/ plant (g)	Yield (q/ ha)
Plant height (cm)	G 0.407	0.343	0.875**	0.868**	-0.417	-0.604*	0.275	0.018	-0.603*	0.514	-0.636*	0.281
	P 0.383	0.326	0.849**	0.835**	-0.284	-0.288	0.265	0.004	-0.584*	0.497	-0.596*	0.278
Number of leaves/ plant	G 0.992**	0.274	0.261	0.048	-0.771**	0.127	0.285	0.229	0.243	0.412	0.243	0.457
	P 0.940**	0.272	0.264	-0.010	-0.300	0.123	0.247	0.218	0.260	0.391	0.260	0.426
Number of suckers / plant	G 0.247	0.230	0.030	-0.769**	0.085	0.180	0.177	0.177	0.293	0.222	0.293	0.248
	P 0.240	0.223	0.004	-0.313	0.083	0.177	0.179	0.179	0.273	0.213	0.273	0.240
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	G 1.000**	-0.385	-0.234	-0.386	0.223	0.218	-0.402	0.659*	0.659*	0.644*	-0.597*	0.378
	P 0.995**	-0.234	-0.234	-0.164	0.218	0.218	-0.389	0.644*	0.644*	0.644*	-0.540	0.363
Leaf area index	G -0.420	-0.413	-0.171	0.245	0.245	0.233	-0.416	0.687**	0.687**	0.687**	-0.619*	0.411
	P -0.255	-0.171	0.802**	0.837**	0.498	0.312	0.400	0.668*	0.668*	0.668*	-0.552	0.392
Moisture content of leaves (%)	G 0.696**	0.413	0.709**	0.709**	0.498	0.312	0.709**	0.709**	0.879**	-0.085	0.879**	0.305
	P 0.413	0.413	0.477	0.477	0.312	0.312	0.477	0.477	0.534	-0.059	0.534	0.200
Moisture content of petioles (%)	G 0.199	0.754**	0.674*	0.674*	0.199	0.312	0.674*	0.674*	0.631*	0.131	0.631*	0.545
	P 0.095	0.336	0.281	0.281	0.095	0.095	0.281	0.281	0.290	0.056	0.290	0.233
Moisture content of cormels (%)	G -0.104	-0.187	-0.201	-0.201	-0.104	-0.187	-0.201	-0.104	-0.173	0.271	-0.173	0.442
	P -0.094	-0.187	-0.187	-0.187	-0.094	-0.187	-0.187	-0.094	-0.151	0.263	-0.151	0.419
Number of cormels/ plant	G 0.467	0.437	0.467	0.467	0.467	0.467	0.467	0.467	0.542	0.207	0.542	0.302
	P 0.437	0.437	0.437	0.437	0.437	0.437	0.437	0.437	0.497	0.189	0.497	0.279
Number of cormels/ plant	G -0.025	-0.005	-0.025	-0.025	-0.025	-0.025	-0.025	-0.025	0.925**	-0.025	0.925**	0.198
	P -0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	0.874**	-0.005	0.874**	0.186
Weight of cormels/ plant (g)	G -0.249	-0.201	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	-0.249	0.918**
	P -0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	-0.201	0.875**
Weight of cormels/ plant (g)	G 0.134	0.127	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134	0.134
	P 0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127	0.127

**Table 3.** Prominent direct effects of different traits on yield.

Trait	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves/plant	Number of suckers/plant	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Leaf area index	Moisture content of leaves (%)	Moisture content of petioles (%)	Moisture content of cornels (%)	Number of cornels/plant	Weight of cornels/plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	4.760	1.939	1.631	4.164	4.132	-1.984	-2.877	1.310	0.083	2.447
Number of leaves/plant	-1.608	-3.946	-3.915	-1.083	-1.028	-0.188	3.041	-0.501	-1.123	-1.626
Number of suckers / plant	0.090	0.262	0.264	0.065	0.061	0.008	-0.203	0.023	0.048	0.059
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	-0.774	-0.243	-0.219	8.884	8.886	0.340	0.341	-0.198	0.100	-0.583
Leaf area index	-1.226	-0.368	-0.326	3.415	3.413	0.593	0.583	-0.345	0.161	-0.970
Moisture content of leaves (%)	0.346	-0.040	-0.025	0.319	0.348	-0.830	-2.324	-0.413	-0.577	0.071
Moisture content of petioles (%)	0.306	0.391	0.390	0.195	0.209	-1.420	-0.507	-0.101	-0.382	-0.066
Moisture content of cornels (%)	0.087	0.040	0.027	0.071	0.078	0.158	0.063	0.317	-0.033	0.086
Number of cornels/plant	-0.035	-0.569	-0.361	0.226	0.228	-1.391	-1.508	0.208	-1.999	-0.413
Number of cornels/plant	1.490	-0.567	-0.438	0.993	1.028	-1.754	-1.666	0.497	-1.154	0.061
Weight of cornels/plant (g)	1.985	1.591	0.856	2.545	2.651	-0.329	0.506	1.047	0.797	8.861
Weight of cornels/plant (g)	-5.141	1.966	2.363	-4.819	-4.997	7.101	5.096	-1.400	4.381	-2.008
Total direct and indirect effects	0.281	0.457	0.248	0.378	0.411	0.305	0.545	0.442	0.302	0.918
Genotypic correlation with yield	0.281	0.457	0.248	0.378	0.411	0.305	0.545	0.442	0.302	0.918

5. Nigam, A.K. and Choubey, P.C. 1990. Analysis of leaf physiology determining the productivity of *Colocasia esculenta* L. *J. Root Crops*. **16**: 41-42.
6. Pandey, G., Dobhal, V.K. and Sapra, R.L. 1996. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L.). *J. Hill Res.* **9**: 299-302.
7. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1978. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi.
8. Parthasarathy, V.A. and Medhi, R.P. 1981. Character association in taro. *J. Res. AAU, Jorhat*. **2**: 243-44.
9. Pillai, P.K.T., Lakshmi, K.R. and Sheela, M.N. 1995. Correlation and path analysis in taro. *J. Root Crops*, **21**: 86-89.
10. Rodriquez M.A., Rodriquez, N.A.A., Roman, G.M.I., Fundora, M.L. and Castineiras, A. 2006. Morphology and isoenzyme variability in taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott) germplasm in Cuba. *PGR Newslett.* **126**: 31-40.
11. Roychowdhury, S. 1995. Leaf area development in colocasia and its relationship with yield. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* **38**: 305-8.
12. Velayudhan, K.C., Liji, R.S. and Rajlakshmy, C. 2000. Correlation and path analysis in taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott.) morphotypes. *J. Root Crops* **26**: 36-39.
13. Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.* **20**: 557-85.

---

Received: May, 2008; Revised: April, 2010;  
Accepted : May, 2010