

Short communication

Response of foliar application of micronutrients on tomato variety Rashmi

Swati Barche^{*}, Pradeep Singh^{**}, Hind Mahasagar^{***} and D.B.Singh^{***}

JNKVV, College of Agriculture, Tikamgarh 472001, Madhya Pradesh

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) of family Solanaceae assumes great significance due to its nutritional excellence. It is one of the most popular and widely grown vegetable and cultivated throughout the world for its fresh and as well as for processed products. Productivity of tomato in India (17.4 t/ha) is quite meager than the world productivity (27.73 t/ha) (NHB database, 1). There is an ample scope to enhance the productivity by adopting various techniques. Application of micronutrient has got the tremendous effect besides the use of major nutrient fertilizers to increase crop yield. Micronutrients like boron, copper, molybdenum and zinc if applied through foliar means can improve the vegetative growth, fruit set and yield of tomato (Arora *et al.*, 2) In view of the above facts the present study was undertaken to assess the impact of micronutrients on growth and yield of tomato.

The experiment was conducted at Research Field of Horticulture, Allahabad Agriculture Institute- Deemed University, Allahabad. The treatments consisted two levels each of boric acid, *i.e.* 100 and 200 ppm, zinc sulphate, *i.e.* 100 and 250 ppm, Copper sulphate, *i.e.* 100 and 250 ppm and multiplex, a complex micronutrient (composition: Zn- 3%, Fe- 2.5%, Mn- 1.0%, Cu- 1.0%, Bo- 0.5% and Mo- 0.1%), *i.e.* 100 and 250 ppm comprising eleven treatment combination with one control. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replication. All the micronutrients were sprayed on plant foliage along with Teepol as sticking agent. Three spray was done on 30, 45 and 60 days after transplanting. Data was recorded on five randomly selected plants in each replication for various growth, yield attributing traits, yield and quality parameters. All the recommended cultural practices were adopted uniformly. Significance and non-significance of variances due to different treatments were determined by calculating the respective 'F' values as per the method described by Panse and Sukhatme (3).

Micronutrient application to tomato plants showed beneficial effect on growth, yield attributing traits, yield as well as quality. Perusal of data presented

in Table 1 indicated that the plant height, number of flowers per inflorescence, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield increased with the combination of boric acid + zinc sulphate + CuSO₄ @250 ppm each. The data in Table 1 revealed that maximum plant height (80.4 cm) was recorded under the treatment T8 whereas minimum (66.60 cm) in treatment T0, *i.e.* control. This increase in height might be due to the fact zinc may serve as source of energy for synthesis of auxin and help in elongation of stem. This was in accordance with the result of Bose and Thirpathi (4). The maximum number of branches per plant (34.7) was observed in the same treatment. This treatment is statistically at par with the treatment, T2 and T6. Number of flowers per inflorescence was found significant and data are presented in Table 1. Data clearly indicated that the treatment T8 produced maximum value (9.13) followed by treatment T7 (8.73), while the minimum value (7.07) was recorded in T0, *i.e.*, control.

The variation in number of flowers per inflorescence might be due to the enhancement in translocation of carbohydrate from the site of synthesis to storage tissue in plant as micronutrient combination particularly boron. Number of fruits per plant was found significant and maximum value was (35.67) recorded in treatment T8 followed by treatment T7 (32.67). The variation in number of fruits per plant can be explained on the basis of number of flowers per plant because these are interrelated characters reported with Singh and Verma (5). Combination of micronutrients particularly boron increased the level of sugar on stigma of flower which helped in fruit set due to better pollen germination and pollen tube growth. The maximum fruit yield (1.18 kg/ plant and 375.94 q/ha) was recorded with treatment T8 followed by treatment T7 (1.16 kg/ plant and 353.77 q/ha) recorded with control. Results are in the accordance with the findings of Prasad *et al.* (6), Graves *et al.* (7) and Gupta *et al.* (8). Higher fruit yield might be due to the yield attributing traits (like number of flowers, number of inflorescence, number of fruits) and growth characters (plant height, number of branches) influenced by micronutrient application. Physico-chemical analysis of tomato fruits from treated plots (Table 1) revealed that total soluble solids was significantly improved due to micronutrient application

Corresponding author's E-mail: sbkdap07@rediffmail.com

**SKAUSAT, Srinagar, Kashmir, J&K

***AAIDU, Allahabad

Table 1. Effect of micronutrients on different attributes of tomato.

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches | No. of flowers/plant | Fruit yield/plant (kg) | Fruit yield (q/ha) | TSS (%) | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| T0 - Control | 66.60 | 19.53 | 30.87 | 1.09 | 291.67 | 7.87 | 28.08 |
| T1 - Boric acid @ 100 ppm | 75.07 | 22.07 | 36.60 | 1.11 | 319.13 | 8.20 | 29.00 |
| T2 - Boric acid @ 250 ppm | 76.13 | 23.27 | 37.67 | 1.15 | 344.70 | 8.50 | 30.16 |
| T3 - Zinc sulphate @ 100 ppm | 72.07 | 21.00 | 34.93 | 1.10 | 306.94 | 7.93 | 28.41 |
| T4 - Zinc sulphate @ 250 ppm | 75.53 | 22.20 | 36.80 | 1.12 | 328.70 | 8.20 | 29.17 |
| T5 - Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm | 72.93 | 21.47 | 36.20 | 1.11 | 314.70 | 8.17 | 28.93 |
| T6 - Copper sulphate @ 250 ppm | 77.07 | 23.33 | 39.07 | 1.17 | 348.03 | 8.50 | 30.64 |
| T7 - BA + ZnSO ₄ + CuSO ₄ @ 100 ppm each | 77.20 | 32.48 | 39.07 | 1.10 | 353.77 | 8.53 | 31.33 |
| T8 - BA + ZnSO ₄ + CuSO ₄ @ 250 ppm each | 80.40 | 34.73 | 41.47 | 1.18 | 375.94 | 8.70 | 31.99 |
| T9 - Multiplex @ 100 ppm | 72.13 | 21.33 | 36.07 | 1.10 | 308.64 | 7.97 | 28.60 |
| T10 - Multiplex @ 250 ppm | 75.67 | 22.67 | 37.67 | 1.14 | 339.76 | 8.27 | 29.77 |
| CD at 5% | 0.98 | 0.67 | 1.05 | .02 | 5.26 | 0.13 | 0.44 |

and it was measured maximum (8.70%) in treatment T8 followed by T7 (8.53%), while minimum total soluble solids (7.87%) was measured in treatment T0. Ascorbic acid was significantly improved due to the application of micronutrients and it was measured highest (31.99 mg/100 g) in treatment T8 and minimum (28.08 mg/100 g) was recorded with treatment T0 (control). This is in close agreement with the results of Chatterjee *et al.* (9) and confirmed that zinc increased the level of ascorbic acid content.

REFERENCES

- National Horticulture Board-2005-2006. *NHB-Database 2006*, Gurgaon, Haryana.
- Arora, S.K., Pandita, M.L. and Pandey, S.C. 1979. Effect of plant regulator and micronutrients on fruit set and total yield of tomato variety HS-102 during summer season. *National Seminar on Physiological Basis of Crop Productivity and Harvesting Solar Energy in Relation to Agric. Development*. At AMU-Aligarh, pp. 716.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. *Statistical Methods of Agricultural Workers*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Bose, U.S. and Tripathi, S.K. 1996. Effect of micro-nutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato cv. Pusa Ruby. *Crop Res. Hisar*, **12**: 61-4.
- Singh, S.S. and Verma, S.K. 1991. Influence of potassium, zinc and boron on growth and yield of tomato *Veg. Sci.* **18**: 122-28.
- Prasad, K.K., Chaudhary, B.M., Amarendra, K. and Kumar, A. 1997. Response of tomato to boron application in Chhotanagpur region. *J. Res. Birsa Agric. Univ.* **9**: 145-47.
- Graves, C.J., Adama, P., Winsor, G.W. and Adatia, M.H. 2004. Effects of micronutrients and liming on the yield, quality and micronutrient status of tomatoes grown in peat. *Plant Soil*, **50**: 343-54.
- Gupta, P.K., Gupta, A.K. and Reddy, S. 2002. Efficacy of auxin (IAA and NAA) and micronutrients mixtures (Multiplex and Humaur) on yield, dry weight and ash content of tomato fruits. *Bionotes*, **4**: 17-18.
- Chatterjee, C., Sinha, P., Pratima, S. and Dube, B.K. 2003. Effects of zinc on the yield and quality of tomato. *Indian J. Hort.* **60**: 59-63.

Received: October, 2009; Revised: January, 2010;
Accepted : April, 2011