# Combining ability analysis of yield and its component in okra

B. Singh<sup>\*</sup>, M. Kumar and R.K. Naresh

Department of Horticulture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut 250 110, Uttar Pradesh

#### ABSTRACT

The present investigation conducted with 45  $F_1$ s and 45  $F_2$ s developed through half-diallel by using ten okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) namely, P-7, BO-2, KS-305, Arka Anamika, KS-442, KS-450, KS-439, KS-419, KS-446 and Azad Bhindi-2 in randomized block design with three replications. Parent BO-2 exhibited high general combining ability effect for all characters except length of fruit, width of fruit and days to flower, in  $F_1$  and length of fruit, width of fruit, height of plant and number of branches per plant in  $F_2$  generations. Genotypes *i.e.*, KS-305, KS-442 and Azad Bhindi-2 were good general combiner for yield appear to be worthy of exploitation in future breeding. It is suggested that involving these lines may be developed through multiple crossing to isolating high yielding varieties. The cross combination KS-305 × Arka Anamika showed high specific combining ability effects as well as per se performance for yield per plant. The crosses showing high specific combining ability effects and *per se* performance for yield per plant suggesting that these hybrids may be exploit in further breeding programme.

Key words: Okra, combining ability, gene action, diallel analsis.

### INTRODUCTION

Okra is an important fruit vegetable crop of the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. It belongs to Malvaceae family and grown in kharif and zaid seasons. Its tender green fruits are used as vegetable and root and stem of okra are used for cleaning gur, khald or raw sugar. It is a good source of vitamins A, B and C, protein and mineral elements (Singh et al., 7) analyzed fruit and reported 6.60 to 10.40% crude fibers 84.60 to 90.50% edible portion 14.40 to 18.60% protein as of total dry weight. Among minerals Ca ranged 99 to 198, P 34.50 to 56 and Fe 0.80 to 2.40 mg per 100 g of edible portion. Okra is an interesting crop to the breeders and geneticists for its monoadelphous condition of the stamens and large flower amendable to easy emasculation and its fruit bears large number of seeds.

The research efforts made have mostly been directed towards individual plant selection in naturally varying races and progeny selection followed by hybridization. However, varieties good in *per se* performance may not necessarily produce desirable progenies when used in hybridization. The sound knowledge of gene effects involved in the expiration of various yield attributes is the prime importance in for mutating any breeding methodology. The knowledge of combining ability analysis provide a guideline for the assessment of relative breeding potential of parental material for hybridization, understanding of inheritance of quantitative traits and also in identifying the promising cross for further use in breeding programme. Diallel fashion besides providing information on the parents and crosses also furnish the knowledge about the suitable parents and breeding methodology to be adopted. The present investigation in okra is undertaken with a view to identify the potential parents and promising crosses for their further use in breeding programme.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material for the present investigation comprised ten okra (*Abeimoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) genotypes namely, P-7, BO-2, KS-305, Arka Anamika, KS-442, KS-450, KS-439, KS-419, KS-446 and Azad Bhindi-2 collected from the Department of Vegetable Science, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalyanpur, Kanpur. Half-diallel set attempted by using ten parents of okra during *zaid* 2007. Parents along with 45  $F_{1s}$  were sown during *kharif* 2007 and half quantity of cross seed was saved to grow in next season and all  $F_{1s}$  and parents were selfed to produce next generation.

All 45  $F_1$ s and 45  $F_2$ s along with their (ten) parents were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications at research farm of D.A.V. (P.G.) College, Muzaffarnagar during *zaid* season 2008. The crop was raised in three rows of 5.0 m length with row to row and plant to plant spacing at 50 cm both. The observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants from parent and  $F_1$ s and 10 plants in  $F_2$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's E-mail: drbijendrasingh66@gmail.com

generation of each replication. The observations were recorded on days to 50% flowering, plant height, No. of branches per plant, No. of nodes per plant, fruit length, fruit width, number of fruits per plant, and yield per plant. The combining ability analysis was worked out as per method suggested by Griffing method-2 and method-1 (Griffing, 1).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The analysis of variance for combining ability was carried out separately for all the eight attributes in F. and F<sub>2</sub> generations and the results are presented in Table 1. The mean square due to general combining ability (gca) and specific combining ability (sca) were found highly significant for all attributes except for width of fruit in both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. Which indicate the presence of additive as well as nonadditive gene action which confirms the findings of Singh et al. (7) and Kulkarni et al. (3), Singh et al. (6), (7), and Yadav et al. (11). Therefore, it would be beneficial to develop a heterogenous population by crossing these parent *inter* se before initiating random mating in  $F_2$  to allow higher recombination. This it is likely to break unfavorable linkage and confer a wide genetic base.

The magnitude of general combining ability variance was more than the estimates of specific combining ability variance for all traits in both generations except for fruit width. General combining ability studies have successfully led to making choice of suitable parents. Genotype BO-2 exhibited high general combining ability effect for all characters except fruit length, width and days to flower, in  $F_1$  and fruit length, fruit width, plant height and number of branches per plant in  $F_2$  generations. General

combining ability effect including both additive and additive x additive type of gene action (Katiyar *et al.*, 2; Yadav *et al.*, 11) which represents fixable genetic variance. Shekhavat *et al.* (4) reported that additive parental effects as measured by general combining ability effects are of practical importance and value whereas non-allelic interactions are impracticable and can not be manipulated.

The compression of general combining ability effects with mean performance revealed that parents BO-2 for days to flower, Azad Bhindi-2 for plant height, fruit width and yield per plant, KS-442 for number of branches per plant; KS-305 for number of nodes per plant; KS-446 for fruit length; and KS-305 for number of fruits per plant were common under both criteria suggesting a correspondence between general combining ability in both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations and *per se* performance of the parents. The earlier workers. also reported the similar results (Shekhavat *et al.*, 4).

Consistent general combining ability effects over  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  may prove advantageous, while evaluating varieties for combining ability. (Singh *et al.*, 11) suggested that the cross might be studied for combining ability in  $F_2$  instead of  $F_1$  generation, when the aims are to breed pureline varieties. However, this suggestion still needs substantiation before it could be adopted for practical utilization. Further, the varieties showing good general, combining ability for particular component may be used in component breeding for the improvement in particular component, thereby effecting improvement in yield. Genotypes i.e., KS-305, KS-442 and Azad Bhindi-2 showed good general combiner for yield appear to be worthy of exploitation in practical breeding. It is suggested

Source	Generation	d.f.	Mean sum of squares for different characters								
of variation			Days to flowering	Plant height	No. of branches per plant	No. of nodes/ plant	Fruit length	Fruit width	No. of fruits/ plant	Yield per plant	
GCA	F <sub>1</sub>	9	22.999**	555.688**	0.989**	0.978**	6.256**	0.031	11.096**	2209.633**	
	F <sub>2</sub>	9	16.658**	291.6698**	0.446**	0.436**	7.375**	0.009	08.623**	21.995**	
SCA	F <sub>1</sub>	44	07.948**	199.883**	0.599**	0.496**	1.999**	0.007	05.988**	1201.005**	
	F <sub>2</sub>	44	04.658**	190.205**	0.455**	0.364**	1.898**	0.008	03.656**	7413.338**	
Error	F <sub>1</sub>	106	0.898	8.964	0.099	0.089	0.399	0.006	0.378	20.880	
	$F_2$	106	0.833	3.369	0.069	0.056	0.279	0.004	0.325	12.885	
GCA/ SCA	F <sub>1</sub>	-	3.039	2.983	2.060	1.991	2.983	1.469	1.989	1.893	
	F <sub>2</sub>	-	4.388	1.669	1.669	1.493	3.899	0.746	2.986	3.060	

 Table 1. Analysis of variance for combining ability in okra.

\*\*Significant at 1% level.

that involving these lines may be developed through multiple crossing to isolating high yielding varieties. The similar results were reported by, Shekhavat *et al.* (4, 5), and Singh *et al.* (7).

Specific combining ability effects represent dominance and epistatic component of variation which are non-fixable and hence, specific combining ability studies would not contribute to the improvement in selfpollinated crops except in cases where commercial exploitation of heterosis is feasible. However, in the production of homozygous lines breeder's interest usually rests upon transgressive segregation shown in the crosses. The specific combining ability effects and per se performance of cross is presented in Table 3. To confirm whether the crosses selected on the basis of specific combining ability effects were really the best performance ones, the best five crosses on basis of mean performance and specific combining ability effects were selected. It was observed that in F1 out of five best crosses BO-2 × KS-442 for days to flowering. Arka Anamika × Azad Bhindi-2 for plant height; number of nodes per plant KS-305 × KS-446 for number of branches per plant; KS-305 × KS-442 for fruit length, KS-305 × Arka Anamika for length of fruits; BO-2 × KS-446 for width of fruit; KS-305 × KS-442 for number of fruits per plant and KS-305 × Arka Anamika for yield per plant also showed high specific combining ability effects as well as per se performance. The crosses showing high specific combining ability effects and per se performance for vield per plant suggesting that these hybrids may be exploite in further breeding programme.

In F<sub>2</sub> generation out of five best crosses KS-446 × Azad Bhindi-2 for days to flowering, width of fruit and vield per plant, P-7 × KS-442 for plant height; number of branches per plant; Arka Anamika × KS-442 for number of nodes per plant; BO-2 × KS-450 for fruit length; KS-439 × Azad Bhindi-2 for number of fruits per plant showed high specific combining ability effects and good per se performance. It is a general observation that good cross combinations are obtain from high × high general combiner and poor ones between low × low general combiners. Best cross combinations involved high × high, high × low, high × moderate, moderate × moderate, moderate × low and low × low general combiners for all the characters under study. This has suggested that good cross combinations be not always obtain cross made between high general combiners by several workers (Singh, 7; Singh et al., 8; Singh et al., 9) in brinjal; Sparque, 11; Singh et al., 6; Yadav et al., 11) in okra also found crossed with specific combining ability effects emanating from low × low general combiners.

If the crosses showing high specific combining ability involved both the parents, which are good combiners that could be exploited in practical breeding. In case the crosses showing the high specific combining ability using high × moderate combiners. Such a combination may through up desirable trangressive segrigants, if the additive genetic system is present in the good combiner and complementary epistatic effect is present in the cross, act in the same direction so as to maximum the desirable attributes. Breeding for homozygous lines by conventional pedigree and back cross method could be use only partial exploitation of additive genetic variance. In order to exploit different types genes actions in a population is suggested that a breeding procedure which may accumulate the fixable type of gene effects and at the same time maintains considerable heterozygosity for exploitation dominance gene effects might prove most beneficial to improve the population of okra.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Griffing, B 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. *Australian J. Biol. Soil.* **9**: 463-93.
- Katiyar, A.S., Dhaka, R.P.S., Singh, S.P. and Singh, B. 2004. Heterosis and gene action for economic traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench]. 1<sup>st</sup> National Symposium on Second Generation Problem in Agriculture and its Remedies, S.V.B.P. Univ. of Agric. and Tech., Modipuram, Meerut from 26-27 Nov. 2004.
- Kulkarni, R.S., Rao, T.S. and Virupakshappa, K. 1978. Epistasis should not ignore in bhindi breeding. *Current Res. Univ. Agri. Sci. Banglore*, 7: 142-43.
- Shekhavat, A.K.S., Yadav, J.R. and Srivastava, J.P. 2004. Combining ability for yield and its contributing characters in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *First National Symposium on Second Generation Problem in Agriculture and its Remedies*, November 26-27, (2004) at SVBPUAT, Modipuram, Meerut, pp. 197.
- Shekhavat, A.K.S., Yadav, J.R., Singh, B. and Srivastava, J.P. 2005. Combining ability for yield and its contributing characters in okra(*Abelmoschusesculentus* L. Moench). *Prog. Agric.* 5: 56-59.

okra.
.⊑
characters
Ĕ
eigh
for
effects
ability
combining
specific
of
Estimates
ц
e

Table 3. Estimates of spe-	cific combining	ability effec	ts for eight ch	aracters in okra					
Cross	Generation	Days to flowering	Plant height	No. of branches/ plant	No. of nodes/ plant	Fruit length	Fruit width	No. of fruits/ plant	Yield/plant
P-7 × KS-442	μŤυ	0.633 2.226**	-1.263 -11 326**	-11.126** 0.173	0.158 0.848**	1.048* 0.236	0.142	0.896 1 846**	9.043* 1 303
P-7 × KS-439	<u>~</u> L	-0.433	2.886	0.253	0.138	2.654**	0.136	2.316**	20.488**
	_ <b>⊤</b> _	-1.578*	31.366**	0.048	0.038	2.456**	-0.169	0.098	7.986
BO-2 × KS-442	цĹ	-3.623**	-8.888** 7 4.06**	-0.033	-0.018	-0.633	-0.048	-2.368**	-22.266** 40.034**
RO-2 × KS-450	г <sup>°</sup> ц	-2.200 1 688*	2.533	-U.366 0 686**	-0.366 0.676*	-0.498	0.034 0.034	-1 885**	-24.356**
	-́ц	-1.934*	22.215**	0.338	0.345	3.438**	0.144*	0.483	40.546**
BO-2 × KS-419	цĨ	-0.627**	26.998**	-0.639*	-0.644*	-0.368	0.047	0.864	39.036**
	ц 2	-1.166 2.062*	-0.286 0.286	0.349	0.236	-1.486** 0.306	0.039	0.146 0.366	-5.446 11 746**
0++-02 × 7-00	ĒĽ	-2.002 4.068**	0.200 29.689**	0.188	0.126	-0.488	0.086	-0.336**	-40.433**
BO-2 × Azad Bhindi-2	ι, Έ	0.523	-13.986**	0.123	0.168	0.048	0.044	1.696**	53.044**
	F <sup>2</sup>	0.723	-5.518**	0.369	0.296	0.276	-0.056	0.286	-9.974
KS-305 × KS-442	щ	-0.496 2.016*	-20.696** 13.696**	-1.076 0.376	-11.004 0.364	-1.883** 3.024*	0.026 0.058	-3.686** 1.348**	-57.064** 32.038**
KS-305 × KS-450	Ţ	-1.033	-7.025**	0.176	0.104	0.390	0.076	1.846**	71.343**
	_ء	3.786*	8.888**	0.636	0.614	0.348	0.066	-0.334	7.896
KS-305 × KS-446	щ	-1.608*	0.738	-1.466**	1.446**	0.346	-0.265*	0.344	9.036*
	٦²	0.032	-2.938	-1.363**	-1.388**	-1.547**	0.168	-2.855**	-28.346**
KS-305 × KS-Azad Bhindi-2	ц	3.106**	9.068**	-0.156	-0.146	-1.858**	-0.038	-4.611**	42.024**
	F	0.896	3.524**	-0.186	-0.124	-0.614	-0.096	1.494**	-1.536
ArkaAnamika × KS-419	Ē	5.033	-7.464**	0.534*	0.569*	0.185	-0.033	2.549**	64.163**
	т <sup>2</sup>	2.243**	-6.484**	-0.842**	-0.897**	-0.624	-0.038	0.134	-11.825**
ArkaAnamika × KS-Azad	ц,	0.436	5.036*	1.533*	1.568	4.367**	-1.024	3.359**	68.359**
Bhindi-2	F	1.866*	-4.042*	0.436	0.124	0.188	-0.035	-2.041**	-23.482
KS-442 × KS-450	ц	0.633	1.522	-0.649	0.084	0.514	0.168	2.085**	62.023**
	F	1.266	-3.689	0.356	0.244	-2.433**	0.046	-1.543**	-13.442**
KS-442 × KS-439	ц,	0.935	-3.236	0.046	0.004	0.644	0.088	0.740	21.298**
	т <sup>2</sup>	0.228	-3.547*	1.428	0.0043	0.435	-0.053	0.789	10.088**
KS-442 × KS-419		0.347 _3 260**	23.039** _3 086	0.846** 0 340	0.804** _0 334	0.646 -0 845	-0.049	3.133** _0 344	45.049** _8 338
KS-439 × Azad Bhindi-2		3.424	6.024**	-0.424	0.169	-2,186**	-0.036	-1.298*	-20,042**
		0.288	3.966*	0.698	-0.088	-0.796	0.014	2.883**	60.062**
KS-446 × Azad Bhindi-2		0.486	-9.043**	-0.549*	-0.564*	-1.743**	-0.086	-0.026	-2.605
		-4.176**	3.034	-0.386*	-0.364*	-0.048	0.231**	2.541**	60.621**
SE (Sij + SK)		0.825	2.169	0.266	0.344	0.565	0.069	0.509	4.176
		0.746	1.620	0.274	0.346	0.446	0.066	0.399	3.393
SE (Sij - S) +		0.146	4.033	0.465	0.399	0.786	0.145	0.732	6.089
		0.108	2.340	0.346	0.238	0.669	0.096	0.569	4.890
SE (Sij - SKL) +		1.106	3.686	0.398	0.286	0.699	0.162	0.969	5.841
		1.035	2.301	0.368	0.338	0.616	0.094	0.556	4.650
*, ** Significant at 5 and 1% lev	vels, respectively,	PK = Parbhar	ii Kranti PS = Pt	usa Sawani					

Indian Journal of Horticulture, June 2012

Combining Ability Analysis of Yield and its Component in Okra

Genotype	Generation	Days to	Plant	No. of	No. of	Fruit	Fruit	No. of	Yield/
		flowering	height	branches/	nodes/	length	width	fruits/	plant
				plant	plant			plant	
P-7	F <sub>1</sub>	1.238**	-3.037**	0.160*	0.185**	-0.526**	0.029	-1.532**	-21.068**
	$F_2$	0.325	-3.035**	0.237*	0.226*	-0.209	-0.027	-1.035**	-20.036**
BO-2	F <sub>1</sub>	-1.638**	-6.628**	-0.149**	-0.146**	-0.573**	-0.026	0.138	-6.878**
	$F_2$	-1.468**	-4.038**	-0.365**	-0.366**	-0.198	-0.046	0.988**	2.038
KS-305	F <sub>1</sub>	-0.399**	5.215**	0.399**	0.460**	-0.206	-0.036	1.835**	20.697**
	$F_2$	-0.988**	-0.226	0.133*	0.150*	0.089	-0.079**	1.339**	12.237**
Arka	F <sub>1</sub>	1.986**	-1.524	0.449**	0.446**	0.348	0.031	-0.023	-0.435
Anamika	$F_2$	0.530*	-1.353*	0.098	0.109	0.798**	0.047	-0.539**	-8.586**
KS-442	F <sub>1</sub>	-0.633**	1.494	-0.139	-0.126	2.613**	-0.049	-0.395**	4.063*
	$F_2$	-0.382	2.036**	-0.267*	-0.226*	1.938**	0.038	-0.648**	7.589**
KS-450	F <sub>1</sub>	0.332	-6.766**	0.038	0.036	-0.086	-0.036	-0.399*	-8.033**
	$F_2$	0.422	-1.338*	0.128	0.133	-0.598*	0.028	-0.488*	-9.988**
KS-439	F <sub>1</sub>	-1.330**	6.893**	-0.499**	-0.569**	0.289	0.034	0.837**	2.396
	$F_2$	-1.030**	3.889**	0.143	0.245	-0.343	-0.029	0.295*	12.037**
KS-419	F <sub>1</sub>	1.844**	9.012**	0.298**	0.395**	0.433**	0.039	-1.095**	17.088**
	$F_2$	2.849**	2.026**	0.220*	0.233*	0.633**	0.020	-0.398*	-1.335
KS-446	F,	0.369	-11.005**	-0.163**	-0.265**	-0.936**	-0.071*	1.226**	-13.486**
	$F_2$	0.198	-8.926**	0.039	0.049	-1.698**	0.021	-1.065**	13.989**
Azad	F,	-1.669**	6.358**	0.334**	9.689**	0.588*	0.078	0.337**	4.163*
Bhindi-2	F,	-3.358**	9.689**	0.165	0.325**	0.188	0.596**	1.386	20.327**
SE (gi) ±	F,	0.258	0.825	0.078	0.199	0.149	0.129	0.156	1.239
	F,	0.236	0.496	0.076	0.207	0.139	0.026	0.130	1.009
SE (gi-gi)	F₁	0.366	1.139	0.123	0.225	0.226	0.046	0.229	1.928
±	$F_2$	0.346	0.698	0.098	0.208	0.199	0.039	0.187	1.483

Table 2. Estimates of general combining ability effects for eight characters in okra.

\*, \*\*, Significant at 5 and 1% levels, respectively.

- Singh, B., Kumar, Anuj, Singh, R.K. and Kaul, Susheel 2004. Combining ability in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench). First National Symposium on Second Generation Problem in Agriculture and its Remedies November 26-27, 2004 at SVBPUAT, Modipuram, Meerut, pp. 192.
- Singh, B.L., Singh, S.P., Yadav, J.R. and Kumar, R. 2002. Heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Prog. Agric.* 1: 29-33.
- Singh, N.D. 1981. Genetic analysis of quantitative characters in brinjal (*Solanum Melongena* L.) Unpub. thesis C.S.A. Univ. of Agric. & Tech. Kanpur.

- 9. Singh, P., Tripathi, R.D. and Singh, H.M. 1974. Effect of age of picking in the chemical composition of the fruits of okra. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **44**: 22-26.
- Sparque, G.F. 1966. Qualitative genetics in plant improvement qualitative genetics. *Plant Breeding*, lowa State Univ. Press Ames, Iowa, pp. 315-54.
- Yadav, J.R., Bhargava, L., Kumar, S., Mishra, G., Yadav, Alok, Parihar, N.S. and Singh, S.P. 2007. Useful heterosis for yield and its components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Prog. Agri.* 7: 38-41.

Received: April, 2011; Revised: December, 2011; Accepted: March, 2012