

Standardization of mango rootstock for mitigating salt stress

D.K. Varu* and A.V. Barad**

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agril. University, Junagadh 362 001

ABSTRACT

Seedlings of different mango varieties were tested against different EC levels of water developed by several salt compositions. Seedlings from stone of Kesar variety was found better with significantly highest survival percentage, germination percentage and growth parameters. Significantly more mortality of seedling was observed in Totapuri variety. In case of EC level of water, significantly highest survival percentage was registered only at 1.20 dSm⁻¹ EC level. Poor seedling survival (14.12%) could be' recorded at 4.00 dSm⁻¹ EC level of water. Germination percentage, number of leaves, plant height and root length were increased with decreasing EC level. The accumulation of sodium was found to be higher in leaves, whereas, potassium and Na: K ratio were noted lower with higher EC level. The interaction effect of days to germination, number of leaves, root length, survival percentage, Na content and Na:K ratio were found significant.

Key words: Rootstock, mango, salinity, sodium, potassium, salt stress.

INTRODUCTION

Mango (*Mangifera indiaca* L.)is one of the most important fruits of country. This fruit crop is known as 'King of fruits' due to its pleasant characteristics. It is grown in many states on an area of 2.20 m ha land and total production of 13.79 m tonnes with 6.30 M t/ha productivity (Anon,1). The salinity hazard is increasing day by day in India and a large portion of geographical area could not be taken under cultivation.

The salt affected soils in India are reaching over 8.5 m ha area. The salt levels vary with proportion of different salts. In some area, chloride is dominant, while another sulphate or carbonate salts are dominating (Singh et al., 11). The composition of different salts in soil have varying I detrimental effects on plant growth. The plant species, kind of salts and its salinity affects the intensity of damage to plant. Mango is more sensitive to salinity particularly at early stage of growth and hence, it is becoming the risk for successful cultivation of mango. Therefore, there is a greater need to standardize the salt tolerant rootstock for successful mango cultivation. Very little efforts have been made to identify mango variety as a rootstock tolerant to different composition of salts under Gujarat conditions. Therefore, an investigation was carried out to standardize salt tolerant rootstock in mango.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present investigation was carried out to standardize the mango root stock through seedling of different varieties of mango and EC levels of water at Fruit Research Station, Madhadibag Farm, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agril. University, Junagadh (Gujarat) during 2005 to 2007. Total 16 combinations were comprising of four varieties, *viz.*, Kesar (V1), Rajapuri (V₂), Totapuri (V₃) and Ashadhiyo (V₄) with four EC levels of irrigation water, *viz.*, 1.2 EC dSm⁻¹ (W₁), 2.0 EC dSm⁻¹ (W₂), 3.0 EC dSm⁻¹(W3) and 4.0 EC dSm⁻¹(W₄). The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replication. Fruits of above varieties were collected in July and seeds were sown in the nursery in pots after treatment with bavistin.

The experiment was taken under shadehouse in pot. The pots were filled with media including soil and well decomposed farm yard manure. The stones of different varieties were planted as per treatment during June-July and the irrigation water was given as per the EC levels. Computed amount of salts were dissolved in distilled water and prepare a required EC levels of water poured into pots as per treatment. The treatment with irrigation water was started after planting of stones. The plants were allowed for one year growth and necessary observations were recorded. Mineral composition (Na, K and Na:K ratio) of leaves was assessed under laboratory conditions by using standard procedures.

Corresponding author's E-mail: dkvaru@yahoo.com, dkvaru@jau.in "**Deptt. of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agril. University, Junagadh 362 001.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The plant growth parameters were significar influenced by different varieties and EC levels of wa (Table 1). Significantly maximum germination (66.18 was recorded in variety Kesar (V1) followed by Ashadh (V_{4}) . This may be due to genetic make up of the variet Kesar and Ashadhiyo. Similar result was obtained Hussain et al. (7) in variety Samar Bahisht. In case EC dSm⁻¹ level, highest germination (62.38%) was not with irrigating the pot with W₁ (EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹) level water. However, it was found at par with 2.0 EC dSi level of water (W₂). The poor germination percentage w recorded with higher EC of water. Generally, mange more sensitive to salinity during germination and ea seedling growth due to increase in the osmotic press of the soil solution or toxicity to the embryo or the grow seedling. Similar trend was observed for days germination, and minimum (29.95 days) was register in Kesar (V₄), but was found at par with Ashadhiyo (\ Among EC levels, lowest days to germination (32.5 was noted in EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water (W₁) follow by 2.0 EC dSm⁻¹ level of water (W₂). The germinat was delayed under higher EC levels. The interact effect was also observed significant in which minimum days to germination (24.29 days) were registered variety Kesar with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water (V₄W

Significantly, the highest number of leaves and pla height (8.79 & 25.63 cm, respectively) were also record in variety Kesar (V,). The result was found in conform with those of Hussain et al., (7) in variety Samar Bahis Nigam and Misra (10) in cultivar Hybrid 15/1. For level of water, significantly maximum number of leav and plant height (10.22 & 25.21 cm, respectively) we found with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water, but were observ at par with 2.0 EC dSm⁻¹ level of water. The interact effect was also found significant for number of leav only and highest (11.47) was noted in variety Rajap with EC1.2 dSm⁻¹ ($V_{a}W_{a}$). The poor growth in high salinity because the salinity in soil is harmful at all stag of growth and development of the plant. The grow stunting and retardation is the most common effect salt stress on all growth parameters are reduced.

The similar result was obtained by Nigam and Misra (10), Gupta and Sen (6) in mango. Similarly, the root length and girth were recorded significant, whereas the stem diameter was found non significant (Table 2). Significantly maximum root length and girth (23.01 & 3.17 mm) were noted in varieties Kesar and Rajapuri, respectively. Among the EC level, highest root length (20.82 cm) was noted with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water and was found at par with EC 2.0 dSm⁻¹ level, whereas, minimum root growth was recorded in 4.0 dSm⁻¹ level of

| | Ľ. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|--|
| ntly ater 3%) | of water (dSm ⁻¹) on germination percentage, days to germination, number of leaves and plant height ir | cm) | pooled | | 25.63 | 25.57 | 16.02 | 23.54 | 3.31 | | 25.21 | 24.21 | 22.17 | 19.17 | 0.95 | | |
| niyo ties by | and pla | Plant height (cm) | 2007 | | 23.86 | 24.30 | 15.75 | 22.88 | 1.28 | | 24.44 | 23.61 | 20.80 | 17.95 | 1.28 | | |
| e of oted | ofleaves | Plant | 2006 | | 25.93 | 22.94 | 19.28 | 24.90 | 2.25 | | 25.40 | 24.70 | 22.90 | 20.04 | 2.25 | | |
| was o is | umber c | | 2005 | | 27.11 | 29.47 | 13.03 | 22.85 | 1.08 | | 25.79 | 24.33 | 22.82 | 19.52 | 1.08 | | |
| arly ure /ing | nation, n | 0 | pooled | | 8.79 | 8.23 | 6.63 | 7.58 | 1.44 | | 10.22 | 9.38 | 6.62 | 5.00 | 2.40 | | |
| v_4). | to germi | No. of leaves | 2007 | | 8.44 | 8.91 | 7.89 | 8.18 | 0.37 | | 11.07 | 10.32 | 6.69 | 5.35 | 0.37 | | |
| 59) ved tion | Je, days | No. | 2006 | | 7.02 | 4.45 | 4.40 | 6.36 | 0.49 | | 6.05 | 5.57 | 5.25 | 5.37 | 0.49 | | |
| tion ium d in | ercentaç | | 2005 | | 10.90 | 11.32 | 7.58 | 8.22 | 0.77 | Sm ⁻¹) | 13.54 | 12.25 | 7.93 | 4.29 | 0.77 | MΧ | |
| ∫ ₁). lant ded | nation p | tion | pooled | Variety | 29.95 | 39.97 | 42.07 | 32.41 | 3.26 (| level (dS | 32.59 | 36.39 | 36.42 | 39.01 | 1.44 | raction V | |
| nity sht, EC | on germi | germinat | 2007 | | 29.93 | 44.26 | 43.91 | 37.74 | 3.21 | ĒC | 33.54 | 39.77 | 40.99 | 41.54 | 3.21 | Inter | |
| ves ere ved | (dSm ⁻¹) d | Days to germination | 2006 | | 38.89 | 48.82 | 50.21 | 36.49 | 2.19 | | 40.71 | 44.47 | 43.18 | 46.06 | 2.19 | | |
| tion ves ouri | of water | | 2005 | | 21.03 | 26.83 | 32.08 | 23.00 | 1.79 | | 23.53 | 24.92 | 25.08 | 29.42 | 1.79 | | |
| her ges wth | | (| pooled | | 66.18 | 42.72 | 40.28 | 59.95 | 5.93 | | 62.38 | 56.48 | 47.92 | 43.07 | 5.93 | | |
| t of isra | oot stocks and EC level | Germination (%) | 2007 | | 65.28 | 39.58 | 36.46 | 48.61 | 7.34 | | 59.03 | 52.78 | 42.71 | 35.42 | 7.34 | | |
| oot the 2). | oot stoc | Germin | 2006 | | 67.71 | 45.83 | 42.71 | 63.54 | 11.87 | | 62.50 | 59.38 | 50.00 | 47.92 | 11.87 | | |

⊆

I

T

8.88 8.88

SN 00.7

11.68

2.16 5.63

1.33 9.08

0.74 5.36

NS 10.65

1.54 9.77

4.43 8.52

6.42 9.94

4.38 6.06

3.58 8.39

NS 24.09

14.68 18.66

NS 26.06

NS 23.44

5%

at (%

00

5%

at

65.63 57.29 51.04 45.88 10.68

Table 1. Effect of root sto

mango.

Treat.

2005

42.75 41.67 67.71 10.68

5%

at

<u>-</u>С

| Table 2. Effect of root stocks and EC level of | t of root s | tocks a | nd EC le | | ater (dSi | m ⁻¹) on r | water (dSm ⁻¹) on root length, root girth, stem diameter and survival percentage in mango | Ith, root | girth, st | em diarr | ieter and | d surviv: | al perce | entage ir | n mangc | - |
|--|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Treat. | 2005 | Root le 2006 | Root length (cm) 2006 2007 p | n) pooled | 2005 | Root girth (mm 2006 2007 | \sim | pooled | Ste 2005 | Stem diameter (mm) 2005 2006 2007 po | eter (mn 2007 | n) pooled | Surv 2005 | Survival percentage 2005 2006 2007 | | (%) pooled |
| , , , | 18.94 | 26.03 | 24.06 | 23.01 | 2.29 | 3.79 | | -Variety 3.07 | 5.28 | 5.16 | 4.49 | 4.98 | 56.23 | 56.25 | 52.50 | 55.23 |
| - ~ | 20.03 | 14.04 | 22.17 | 18.75 | 2.28 | 3.34 | 3.89 | 3.17 | 6.21 | 4.25 | 4.29 | 4.92 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 27.08 | 25.69 |
| ~~ `> | 19.67 | 12.90 | 20.02 | 17.53 | 1.66 | 2.87 | 3.56 | 2.70 | 5.07 | 3.69 | 4.21 | 4.32 | 24.99 | 12.50 | 21.88 | 19.79 |
| ^° | 17.08 | 17.84 | 21.596 | 18.84 | 1.91 | 2.94 | 3.17 | 2.67 | 5.58 | 3.86 | 4.47 | 4.64 | 41.66 | 40.63 | 37.50 | 39.93 |
| C.D at 5% | 1.35 | 1.32 | 1.12 | 4.03 | NS | 0.50 | NS | | NS | 0.31 | 0.23 | NS | 8.46 | 7.62 | 8.51 | 4.79 |
| | | | | | | | EC leve | F | ⁻¹) | | | | | | | |
| N, | 19.72 | 18.96 | 23.79 | 20.82 | 2.20 | 3.55 | 3.53 | 3.10 | 6.33 | 4.57 | 4.49 | 5.13 | 61.10 | 56.25 | 53.54 | 56.96 |
| N [°] | 19.13 | 17.14 | 23.30 | 19.85 | 1.99 | 3.17 | 3.10 | | 5.13 | 4.21 | 4.46 | 4.60 | 40.27 | 36.46 | 39.58 | 38.77 |
| Ŵ, | 17.48 | 16.42 | 20.79 | 18.23 | 1.99 | 3.17 | 3.76 | | 5.36 | 4.08 | 4.38 | 4.61 | 36.10 | 23.96 | 32.29 | 30.78 |
| W ⁵ | 19.40 | 18.29 | 19.96 | 19.22 | 1.95 | 3.05 | 3.37 | | 5.33 | 4.10 | 4.13 | 4.52 | 19.44 | 9.38 | 13.54 | 14.12 |
| CD at 5% | 1.35 | 1.32 | 1.12 | 1.26 | SN | 0.50 | NS | NS | NS | 0.31 | 0.23 | NS | 8.46 | 7.62 | 8.51 | 4.79 |
| | | | | | | | ^O | tion V X | ≥ | | | | | | | |
| CD at 5% | 2.71 | 2.64 | 2.25 | 3.78 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 16.91 | 15.25 | 17.01 | 9.68 |
| CV(%) | 8.62 | 00.6 | 6.17 | 8.10 | 35.34 | 18.57 | 33.45 | 30.47 | 37.97 | 4.90 | | 27.24 | 24.01 | 29.20 | 29.54 | 28.24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treat. | | 2005 | 2006 | Na (%) 3 2007 | | pooled | 2005 | 2006 | (%) > | 2007 | pooled | 2005 | | Na:K ratio 2006 20 | atio 2007 | pooled |
| | | | | | | | 2007 | 8 | | | boood | | | | 1007 | n non |
| > | | 1 070 | 1 080 | | | 1 074 | Va 0.876 | Variety | | 0,888 | 0 897 | 130 | | 1 200 | 1 252 | 1 252 |
| ~~> | | 1 2 2 9 | 1 266 | | | 1.261 | 0.810 | 0.07 | | 200 | 0.820 | 1 50 | | 553 | 1 624 | 1561 |
| < <u></u> | | 1.463 | 1.496 | 1.496 | | 1.485 | 0.614 | 0.728 | | 0.714 | 0.685 | 2.394 | | 2.099 | 2.135 | 2.209 |
| °>` | | 1.129 | 1.131 | | | 1.130 | 0.783 | 0.88 | | .829 | 0.832 | 1.45 | | .345 | 1.380 | 1.392 |
| CD at 5% | | 0.04 | 0.05 | | |).028 | 0.024 | | | 0408 | 0.0322 | 0.08 | | .070 | 0.0864 | 0.102 |
| | | | | | | | EC leve | <u> </u> 0 | | | | | | | | |
| ×, | | 0.968 | 0.983 | | | 0.978 | 0.852 | 0.989 | | 0.876 | 0.905 | 1.23 | | .005 | 1.151 | 1.129 |
| ×~ | | 1.13/ | 1.100 | 1.351 | | 1.171 | 0.752 | 0.330 | | 0.789 | 0.776 | 070.1 1.779 | | 1.754 | 1.720 | 1.751 |
| N [°] | | 1.435 | 1.473 | | | 1.458 | 0.711 | 0.696 | | .734 | 0.714 | 2.06 | | .147 | 2.039 | 2.085 |
| CĎ at 5% | | 0.04 | 0.05 | | | 0.028 | 0.024 | 0.037 | | 0.0408 | 0.0556 | 0.08 | | 020 | 0.0864 | 0.109 |
| CD at 5% | | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.093 | | 0.057 | 0.047 | 0.074 | | 0.0815 0.0815 | SNS | 0.166 0.26 | | 0.141 | 0.172 | 0.125 |
| CV (%) | | 4.22 | 5.34 | 3.4 | | 4.87 | 3.67 | 5.2 | 2 | 5.09 | 5.30 | 6.0 | | .49 | 6.52 | 6.23 |

Indian Journal of Horticulture, November (Special Issue) 2010

water. The result was observed non significant for root girth. The root biomass was found decreasing with the increasing the EC level of water. It might be due to the fact that the salts presents in water and soil solution exerted more toxic effect on roots.

The survival percentage was observed significant during all the years and pooled for both varieties and EC level of water (Table 2). The highest survival percentage (55.23) was recorded in variety Kesar followed by Ashadhiyo (39.35). The mortality of seedlings were found lowest in Kesar and Ashadhiyo may be due to tolerability of the genotypes. Among the EC levels, maximum survival (56.96) was registered with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water followed by2.0dSm⁻¹. The interaction effect was also found significant and highest (82.96%) in variety Kesar with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water (V₁W₁) followed by combination V_4W_1 (69.90%). The higher survival percentage with lower EC of water and lower survival percentage with higher EC level of water may be due to toxic effects on plants. The salinity tends to alter the nutritional imbalance, which result in changes in metabolic activities leading to increases in free amino acid, proline, total organic acids and hydrolytic enzymes. Sever salinity resulted in death due to loss of ionic control in root and chlorosis, necrosis and wilting. The toxic effect of ions on the seedlings may also be attributed to its interaction with other mineral nutrients, which may

have caused injuries by interfering with normal stomatal closure, causing excess water loss and leaf injury symptoms like those of drought. The poor survival percentage was noted with more saline water and it was supported by Jindal *et al.* (8). These findings are in agreement with that of Khanna and Kumar (9), Srivastav *et al.* (12), and Srivastav *et al.* (13).

There was significant influence of Na & K contents as well as Na:K ratio in the dry leaves (Table 3). Lowest content of Na (1.074%) and Na:K ratio (1.252) with higher content of K (0.897) were recorded in variety Kesar followed by variety Ashadhiyo. It may be due salt tolerance mechanism in Kesar as compared to other varieties, which might have reduced the entrance and accumulation of Na salts in the plant tissue. The result was also in conformity with those of Nigam and Misra (10) reported lower accumulation of Na in Kala Hapus and highest in Gulab Khas. El Defan *et al.* (4) suggested that Sensation was more salt tolerant than Sudani. It was also in conformity with Zuazo *et al.* (3).

Among EC levels, minimum Na content (0.978%) was noted with EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ level of water (W1). Na:K ratio was also with similar trend of Na content. Both were significantly increased with increasing the EC levels. The reverse trend was observed for K content and found to be reduced with increasing the EC level as it was found highest in EC 1.2 dSm⁻¹ but lowest in EC 4 (1.2

Table 4. Effect of root stocks and EC level of water (dSm⁻¹) on interaction of days to germination, number of leaves, survival percentage, Na & K content and Na: K ratio in mango.

| Treatment | Days to germination | Number of leaves | Survival (%) | Na conc. (%) | Na: K ratio | Root length |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| V ₁ W ₁ | 24.29 | 10.55 | 82.96 | 0.637 | 0.632 | 21.38 |
| V ₁ W ₂ | 26.67 | 10.68 | 68.05 | 0.815 | 0.886 | 24.54 |
| | 31.91 | 7.16 | 60.64 | 1.333 | 1.555 | 23.64 |
| V ₁ W ₄ | 36.93 | 6.76 | 9.26 | 1.513 | 1.935 | 22.49 |
| V ₂ W ₁ | 36.23 | 11.47 | 37.50 | 1.444 | 1.550 | 21.65 |
| $V_2 W_1$ $V_2 W_2$ | 41.04 | 9.56 | 27.78 | 0.869 | 0.986 | 20.42 |
| V,W, | 35.86 | 8.11 | 24.07 | 1.434 | 1.916 | 18.87 |
| $V_2^2 W_4^3$ $V_3 W_1$ | 46.75 | 3.77 | 13.42 | 1.295 | 1.792 | 14.06 |
| V ₃ W ₁ | 40.06 | 8.43 | 37.49 | 1.324 | 1.761 | 19.26 |
| V ₃ W ₂ V ₃ W ₃ V ₃ W ₄ | 44.59 | 8.96 | 15.28 | 1.764 | 2.471 | 15.64 |
| V ₃ ̃₩ ₃ | 44.16 | 5.17 | 13.89 | 1.185 | 1.815 | 14.03 |
| V ₃ ̃₩ ₄ | 39.46 | 3.94 | 12.50 | 1.667 | 2.789 | 21.18 |
| $V_{A}W_{1}$ | 29.79 | 10.43 | 69.90 | 0.506 | 0.572 | 21.01 |
| V ₄ W ₂ | 33.24 | 8.32 | 43.98 | 1.235 | 1.457 | 18.82 |
| $V_4 W_2$ $V_4 W_3$ | 33.74 | 6.06 | 24.54 | 1.422 | 1.717 | 16.38 |
| $V_{4}^{T}W_{4}^{J}$ | 32.88 | 5.53 | 21.30 | 1.357 | 1.823 | 19.13 |
| CD at 5% | 4.43 | 1.34 | 9.68 | 0.0571 | 0.125 | 3.78 |
| CV (%) | 8.52 | 9.08 | 28.24 | 4.87 | 6.23 | 8.10 |

dSm⁻¹). Relatively, higher uptake and accumulation of sodium content in the leaf tissues could be directly or indirectly responsible for growth suppression either by depressing the uptake of other anions such as nitrate or by direct osmotic effects of high local concentration particularly on the leaf margin. There is more striking effect of sodium salts on potassium salts, which drastically decreased in tissues with increasing the salinity levels. It may be due to competitive phenomenon of sodium salt on potassium to reduce the uptake in plant roots (Creda et al., 2). In salt stress condition, the role of potassium is well documented where sodium and potassium may exchange during the salt uptake (Fox and Guerinot, 5). It was also supported by Srivastav et al. (13), and El Defan et al. (4). The interaction effect was found significant and lowest Na content (0.506%) was noted with treatment combination $V_A W_1$ followed by V₄W₄. The sodium and potassium ratio was increased with increasing salinity level. It might be due to the level of sodium content increased with increasing salinity level and so decreased the potassium level.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous, 2005. *National Horticulture Data Base* (2007-08). National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Gurgaon.
- Creda, A., Pardines, J., Btella, M.A. and Martinez, V. 1995. Effect of potassium on growth, water relation, and the inorganic organic solute contents for two maize grown under saline conditions. *J. Plant Nutr.***18**: 839-51.
- Duran Zuazo, V.H., Martinez Raya, A. and Aguilar Ruiz, J. 2003. Salt tolerance of mango rootstocks (*Mangifera indica* L.). Spanish J. Agri. Res. 1: 67-78.
- El Defan, T.A.A., El Ghanam, M.M.M. and El Kishky, S.A. 1999. Assessment of some mango

seedling varieties for salt tolerance. *Ann. Agric. Sci.* **37**: 2813-22.

- 5. Fox, T.C. and Guerinot, M.L. 1998. Molecular biology of cation transport in plants. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **49**: 669-96.
- 6. Gupta, N.K. and Sen, N.L. 2003. Studies on initial establishment of mango seedling in saline environment. *South Indian Hort.* **51**: 106-9.
- Hussain, C.M., Khan, K.M. and Nasir, M.A. 1989. Determination of suitable root-stock for some elite mango cultivars. *J. Agric. Res.* 27: 289-98.
- 8. Jindal, P.C., Singh, J.P. and Gupta, O.P. 1976. Salt tolerance of mango. *Bangladesh Hort.* **4**: 34-36.
- Khanna, R.C. and Kumar, R.J. 1990. Effect of salinity levels on the growth of Nagpur Mandarin (*Citrus reticulate* Blanco). *Indian J. Hort.* 47: 190-93.
- Nigam, J.K. and Misra, K.K. 2004. Screening of mango (*Mangifera indica*) seedling germplasm for salt tolerance. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 74: 138-41.
- 11. Singh, N.T., Brar, G.S. and Singh, B. 1980. Salt affected soils. *Int. Symp. Salt Affected soils* held at CSSRI, Karnal from 18-20 February, pp. 7.
- Srivastav, Manish, Dubey, A.K., Pandey, R.N. and Deshmukh, P.S. 2007. Effect of soil salinity on survival, growth and chlorophyll contents of 'Kurukkan' mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **77**: 685-88.
- Srivastav, Manish, Dubey, A.K., Singh, A.K., Singh, R., Pandey, R.N. and <u>Deshmukh</u>, P.S. 2009. Effect of salt stress on mortality, reduction in root growth and distribution of mineral nutrients in 'Kurukkan' mango at nursery stage. *Indian J. Hort.* 66: 28-34.

Received: April, 2008; Revised: March, 2010 Accepted: August, 2010