

**Table 2.** Interaction effect of IBA concentrations and time of layering on rooting and root parameters in guava air layering.

Factor	Rooting (%)	Primary roots	Secondary roots	Length of longest root (cm)	Roots weight (g)	
					Fresh	Dry
I <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	60.00	1.71	2.97	1.02	0.262	0.046
I <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	64.44	3.00	5.20	1.88	0.491	0.089
I <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	66.65	3.70	6.13	2.36	0.583	0.107
I <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	71.11	4.33	9.50	3.63	1.116	0.200
I <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	73.37	5.10	11.07	5.11	1.304	0.236
I <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	78.87	5.70	11.90	5.87	1.409	0.255
I <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	75.55	6.20	14.47	5.58	1.596	0.280
I <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	78.87	6.83	18.20	7.23	1.852	0.342
I <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	81.00	7.33	19.92	8.04	2.046	0.383
I <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	81.11	7.73	21.23	7.91	2.147	0.397
I <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	83.11	9.37	22.73	9.02	2.364	0.441
I <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	85.22	10.33	24.48	9.83	2.528	0.462
CD (0.05)	0.58	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.009	0.002

I<sub>0</sub> = Control, I<sub>1</sub> = IBA 2000ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = IBA 3000 ppm, I<sub>3</sub> = IBA 4000 ppm, M<sub>1</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> June, M<sub>2</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> July, M<sub>3</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> August

**Table 3.** Interaction effect of IBA concentrations and rooting media on rooting and root parameters in guava air.

Factor	Rooting (%)	Primary roots	Secondary roots	Length of longest root (cm)	Roots weight (g)	
					Fresh	Dry
I <sub>0</sub> S	65.92	3.07	5.22	1.96	0.473	0.087
I <sub>0</sub> C	61.48	2.53	4.31	1.55	0.418	0.074
I <sub>1</sub> S	76.28	5.31	11.33	5.12	1.344	0.243
I <sub>1</sub> C	72.62	4.78	10.31	4.62	1.209	0.218
I <sub>2</sub> S	79.92	6.98	18.16	7.23	1.344	0.348
I <sub>2</sub> C	77.04	6.60	16.90	6.66	1.766	0.321
I <sub>3</sub> S	84.07	9.53	23.65	9.33	2.420	0.444
I <sub>3</sub> C	82.22	8.76	21.98	8.52	2.272	0.423
CD (0.05)	0.50	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.008	0.002

I<sub>0</sub> = Control, I<sub>1</sub> = IBA 2000ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = IBA 3000 ppm, I<sub>3</sub> = IBA 4000 ppm, S = Sphagnum moss and C = Coco peat

root fresh and dry weight.

In case of time of layering, 15<sup>th</sup> August recorded maximum rooting (77.94%), number of primary (6.77) and secondary (15.61) roots, mean length of longest root (6.53) and highest fresh (1.64 gm) and dry weight (0.30 gm) of root layer<sup>-1</sup>. While, 15<sup>th</sup> June recorded the minimum of these characters. This may be due to climatic and environmental factors variation and differences in varietal responses as reported by Changrappa and Gowda (3) in guava. It is evident from the results obtained that root characters had progressively improved in layer from 15<sup>th</sup> June to 15<sup>th</sup> August. The fact that August had recorded maximum rooting percentage, suggesting that the rooting in the

other months was affected due to environmental conditions in the shape of steady increasing in relative humidity from June to August and with temperature approaching down from high temperature of summer to moderate temperature of rainy and autumn season.

The data pertaining to rooting media showed that Wet sphagnum moss produced maximum rooting (76.55%), number of primary (6.22) and secondary (14.59) roots, mean length of longest roots (5.91 cm) and highest fresh (1.53 gm) and dry weight (0.28 gm) of roots. However cocopeat recorded the minimum of these characters. Sphagnum moss has more success perhaps due to its capacity to retain higher moisture retention with high porosity for better aeration. This finding was also

**Table 4.** Interaction effect of time of layering and rooting media on rooting and root parameters in guava air layering.

Factor	Rooting (%)	Primary roots	Secondary roots	Length of longest root (cm)	Roots weight (g)	
					Fresh	Dry
M <sub>1</sub> S	73.88	5.27	12.78	4.78	1.341	0.242
M <sub>1</sub> C	70.00	4.72	11.30	4.29	1.219	0.219
M <sub>2</sub> S	76.55	6.33	14.80	6.13	1.575	0.286
M <sub>2</sub> C	73.35	5.82	13.80	5.49	1.430	0.267
M <sub>3</sub> S	79.21	7.07	16.19	6.82	1.684	0.314
M <sub>3</sub> C	76.66	6.47	15.02	6.24	1.599	0.290
CD (0.05)	0.71	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.011	0.002

M<sub>1</sub>= 15<sup>th</sup> June, M<sub>2</sub>= 15<sup>th</sup> July , M<sub>3</sub>= 15<sup>th</sup> August , S= Sphagnum moss and C= Coco peat

**Table 5.** Interaction effect of IBA concentrations, time of layering and rooting media on rooting , root parameters in air layering.

Factor	Rooting (%)	Primary roots	Secondary roots	Length of longest root (cm)	Roots weight (g)	
					Fresh	Dry
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	62.22	2.08	2.20	1.21	0.320	0.058
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	66.66	3.20	3.53	2.18	0.512	0.092
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	68.88	3.93	4.47	2.48	0.586	0.112
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	73.33	4.53	8.73	3.81	1.200	0.215
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	75.55	5.33	9.93	5.37	1.366	0.248
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	79.97	6.07	10.67	6.17	1.466	0.267
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	77.78	6.33	11.73	5.86	1.631	0.286
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	79.96	7.13	14.67	7.55	1.956	0.357
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	82.00	7.47	16.40	8.29	2.102	0.402
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	82.22	8.13	17.73	8.23	2.212	0.410
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	84.00	9.67	19.13	9.45	2.466	0.448
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	86.00	10.80	20.67	10.32	2.582	0.473
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	57.78	1.33	1.40	0.82	0.204	0.035
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	62.22	2.80	3.27	1.59	0.470	0.085
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	64.42	3.47	3.87	2.45	0.580	0.102
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	68.88	4.13	7.47	3.45	1.032	0.185
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	71.18	4.87	9.07	4.85	1.243	0.225
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	77.78	5.33	9.73	5.57	1.352	0.243
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	73.33	6.07	10.67	5.29	1.561	0.270
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	77.78	6.53	13.13	6.91	1.747	0.326
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	80.00	7.20	14.93	7.79	1.990	0.364
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	80.00	7.33	16.47	7.59	2.081	0.383
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	82.22	9.07	18.13	8.61	2.261	0.434
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	84.00	9.87	19.20	9.35	2.474	0.451
CD (0.05)	1.01	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.015	0.003

I<sub>0</sub> = Control, I<sub>1</sub> = IBA 2000ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = IBA 3000 ppm, I<sub>3</sub> = IBA 4000 ppm, M<sub>1</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> June, M<sub>2</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> July , M<sub>3</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> August , S= Sphagnum moss and C= Coco peat

similar with that of Singh and Jawanda (7).

Results indicated that combined effect of IBA concentrations and Time of layering was significantly influenced rooting and root characters presented in Table 2. IBA at 4000 ppm + 15<sup>th</sup> August recorded maximum rooting (85.22 %), number of primary (10.33) and secondary (24.48) roots, mean length of longest roots (9.83 cm) and root fresh (2.53 gm) and dry weight (0.46 gm).

Interaction between IBA concentrations and rooting media also significantly influence on rooting and roots characters presented in Table 3. IBA at 4000 ppm + 15<sup>th</sup> August produced maximum rooting (84.07 %), number of primary (9.53) and secondary (23.65) roots, mean length of longest roots (9.33 cm) and root fresh (2.42 gm) and dry weight (0.44 gm). The minimum value of these characters was observed by control + coco peat.

The interaction effect of time of layering and rooting media on rooting and root parameters in guava air layering was also significant presented in Table 4. 15<sup>th</sup> August + Wet sphagnum moss produced maximum rooting (79.21 %), number of primary roots (7.07), secondary roots (16.19), mean length of longest roots (6.82 cm), root fresh (1.68 gm) and dry (0.31 g) weight.

The combined effect of IBA concentrations, time of layering and rooting media was also significant on rooting and root characters presented in Table 5). IBA at 4000 ppm + 15<sup>th</sup> August + wet sphagnum moss recorded the maximum rooting percentage (86.00%), maximum number of primary (10.8) and secondary (20.67) roots, mean length of longest roots (10.32) and root fresh (2.58 g) and dry (0.47 g) weight. Westwood (1973) reported that the balance between auxins and other constituents in the plants tissues control organs formation and is the basis for rooting and root characters. This balance may be achieved by various combinations of genetics, environmental and chemical factors.

The establishment of rooted air layering was also significantly influenced by nursery and treatment combinations of IBA concentrations, time of layering and rooting media presented Table 6. Of the two nursery, Polyhouse recorded higher establishment (67.43%) than open nursery conditions (60.30%). Among the treatment combinations, I<sub>3</sub>SM<sub>3</sub> (IBA at 4000 ppm + 15<sup>th</sup> August + moist sphagnum moss) recorded the highest establishment (87.50%). In the interaction effect of treatment combinations and nursery, I<sub>3</sub>SM<sub>3</sub> under polyhouse nursery recorded the highest establishment (91.67%). This might be due to more number of primary and secondary roots and root length at this combination for better absorption of nutrients and moisture from the soil and ultimately resulted in higher establishment percentage (Tyagi and Patel, 8). Establishment under

poly-house nursery conditions always higher than that of open nursery conditions irrespective of IBA concentrations, time of layering and media and their interactions. This might be due to congenial environmental conditions under poly-house conditions when compared to uncontrolled environmental conditions of open nursery. This finding is in agreement with the results obtained by Ahmad *et al.* (1) in patch budding of walnut, Singh *et al.* (6) on Wedge method of grafting in guava (*Psidium guajava*) cultivars Allahabad Safeda and Sardar under greenhouse obtained higher successes than in an opened conditions .

**Table 6.** Effect of IBA concentrations, time of layering, rooting media and nursery on survival of plantlets.

Treatments (T)	Nursery (N)		
	Open	Polyhouse	Mean
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	33.33	50.00	41.67
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	50.00	55.55	52.78
I <sub>0</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	55.55	61.10	58.33
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	61.10	61.11	61.10
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	63.89	66.66	65.27
I <sub>1</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	66.66	69.44	68.06
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	66.66	69.44	68.22
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	69.44	77.77	73.60
I <sub>2</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	72.22	82.00	77.11
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>1</sub>	75.00	83.33	79.17
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>2</sub>	78.33	88.88	83.33
I <sub>3</sub> SM <sub>3</sub>	83.33	91.67	87.50
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	16.66	33.33	25.00
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	33.33	50.00	41.67
I <sub>0</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	50.00	55.55	52.78
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	49.99	58.34	54.17
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	55.55	61.10	58.33
I <sub>1</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	61.10	63.88	62.49
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	61.10	66.66	65.27
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	63.89	69.44	65.27
I <sub>2</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	66.66	72.22	69.44
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>1</sub>	66.66	72.22	69.44
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>2</sub>	72.22	75.00	72.22
I <sub>3</sub> CM <sub>3</sub>	75.00	83.33	80.55
Mean	60.30	67.43	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	N	1.28	
	T	4.44	
	N x T	6.28	

I<sub>0</sub> = Control, I<sub>1</sub> = IBA 2000ppm, I<sub>2</sub> = IBA 3000 ppm, I<sub>3</sub> = IBA 4000 ppm M<sub>1</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> June, M<sub>2</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> July, M<sub>3</sub> = 15<sup>th</sup> August, S = Sphagnum moss and C = Coco peat

Based on the above result it was concluded that rooting could be enhanced in air layer by exogenous

application of IBA at 4000 ppm with moist sphagnum moss as rooting media layering during 15<sup>th</sup> August. Besides it also improved root characters like number of roots, root length and root weight and also recorded highest establishment. Comparatively, establishment under polyhouse conditions always higher than that of open nursery conditions irrespective of IBA concentrations, time of layering and rooting media.

## REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, M.F., Iqbal, U. and Khan, A.A. 2007. Response of different environments and dates of patch budding on success in walnut. *Indian J. Hort.* **64**: 286-89.
2. Bhagat, B.K., Singh, C. and Chaudhary, B.B. 1999. Effect of growth substances on rooting and survival of airlayers of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Orissa J. Hort.* **27**: 72-75.
3. Chandrappa and Gowda, V.N. 1998. Influence of auxin and 1,2,3 Acid on rooting of guava air layers. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.* **32**: 59-66.
4. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1978. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. pp. 125-28.
5. Singh, D.K. 1998. Regeneration of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cultivars by stooling with the aid of paclobutrazol. *Ann. Agric. Res.* **19**: 317-20.
6. Singh, G. Gupta, S., Mishra, R. and Singh, A. 2007. Technique for rapid multiplication of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Acta Hort.* **735**: 177-83.
7. Singh, S. and Jawanda, J.S. 1981. Propagation studies in litchi. *Punjab Hort. J.* **21**: 184-87.
8. Tyagi, S.K. and Patel, R.M. 2004. Effect of growth regulators on rooting of air-layering of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Orissa J. Hort.* **32**: 58-62.

---

Received: February, 2010; Revised: April, 2010  
Accepted: August, 2010