

Heterosis for growth, yield and fruit quality traits in papaya

Preeti Singh, Jai Prakash^{*}, A. K. Goswami and Kanhaiya Singh

Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during 2016-2017 to determine the magnitude of heterosis in papaya for growth, yield and fruit quality traits. A total of eight genotypes consisting three hybrids along with their five parents were evaluated in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. Appreciable heterosis was found over better over mid parent in traits like days to fruit maturity, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield, fruit shelf life, fruit firmness, antioxidant activity of fruit, total carotenoid content and lycopene content in desirable direction. A hybrid, of progeny of Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha (14.57%) showed significant relative heterosis for yield, whereas none of the hybrids were recorded with better parent heterosis. Two hybrids, Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha (2.93%) and Pune Selection 3 × P-7-9 (22.13%) was observed with high heterosis for number of fruits per plant. All three hybrids exhibited heterosis in negative direction for days to fruit maturity. For fruit shelf life at ambient temperature, hybrid Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 (3.12%) exhibited better parent heterosis, whereas all three hybrids showed positive mid parent heterosis for the same trait. All three hybrids showed positive mid-parent heterosis for traits like number of fruits per plant, shelf-life, total phenolic content and antioxidant activity. The hybrid Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha exhibited better parent and mid parent heterosis for total carotenoid and lycopene content along with total phenolic content and antioxidant activity. Moreover, none of the hybrids showed positive heterosis for TSS, leaf length, fruit weight, fruit diameter and fruit length. However, hybrid Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha has shown moderate heterosis for important economic traits and same may be exploited further to develop a commercial hybrid of papaya.

Key words: Carica papaya, heterobeltiosis, relative heterosis.

INTRODUCTION

Papaya (Carica papaya L.) is an important fruit crop which is widely cultivated in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world owing to its high yield potential and nutritional value. India leads in papaya production in the world with a production of 6.1 MT from an area of 0.14mha resulting in productivity of 44.91 t/ha (Anonymous, 1). Other papaya growing countries of the world like Brazil, Indonesia and Mexico has the productivity much more than that of India. One of the major problems behind less productivity could be the lack of high yielding hybrid varieties with guality fruits and resistance to pests and diseases. Papaya is a seed propagated crop that can be improved either by developing purelines or inbreds or hybrids. Heterosis or hybrid breeding resulting in heterozygous F, hybrid are better than purelines because their heterozygosity ensures more variability for high yield and enhanced quality of fruits along with better genetic buffering against environmental and biotic stress. To know the potentiality of hybrid in particular crop, the magnitude and direction of heterosis is of paramount important. The magnitude of heterosis largely depends upon genetic divergence among the parents taken for the study. Heterosis has

been exploited in crops like maize, rice, tomato and melons. In papaya, some of the studies conducted on hybridization demonstrates the existence of heterosis. The previous studies that reports heterosis in papaya between the cultivars were done by Chang and Wu (4), Subramanyam and Iyer (12), Chan (2), Kamalkumar *et al.* (6) and Davamani *et al.* (5). Thus, the present experiment was conducted to evaluate the magnitude of heterosis for vegetative, reproductive, fruit yield attributing and fruit biochemical traits and to identify the best heterotic combination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during 2016-2017 at the Main Experimental Orchard, Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012. The trial was laid out under a randomized block design (RBD) with four replications. The experimental material consisted of five parent and three hybrid genotypes of papaya namely, Red Lady (Self) (a homozygous gynodioecious line), Pusa Nanha (PN), P-9-5, Pune Selection 3 (PS 3), P-7-9, Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha, Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 and Pune Selection $3 \times P$ -7-9, papaya seedlings of parents and F₁ hybrids were raised under uniform field conditions and 12 uniform healthy looking plants were maintained

^{*}Corresponding author's E-mail: singhjai2001@rediffmail.com

for each genotype. The plants were raised as per the recommended package of practices for papaya cultivation under north Indian plains (Singh *et al.*, 8). The hybrids and parents were analysed for a total of 7 vegetative and reproductive, 7 yield attributing, 8 fruit quality and biochemical traits under field and laboratory.

The observations such as the plant height at flower initiation (cm), plant height at first fruit maturity (cm), petiole length (cm), leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), stem diameter (cm) and days to first flower initiation. The yield and yield attributing traits included days to first fruit maturity, fruiting zone (cm), number of fruits per plant, fruit diameter (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit weight (g), fruit central cavity index (%) and fruit yield per plant (kg) were recorded. For fruit quality and biochemical included traits like total soluble solids (TSS), total phenolic content (TPC) as determined by the Folin-Ciocalteau reagent method described by Singleton et al. (11), total flavonoid content (TFC) based on aluminum chloride colorimetric assay as demonstrated by Zhishen et al. (13), DPPH antioxidant activity assay was carried out according to the method described by Musa et al. (7), total carotenoid content and lycopene content was estimated by the method described by Rao et al. (9). The data obtained for different traits were statistically analyzed to find out the significance of the difference among the papaya hybrid progenies. The data was analyses online (http://hau.ernet.in/ about/opstat.php) using OP Stat software (Sheoran et al., 10).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance as presented in Table 1 indicates significant differences among the parents and the hybrids for most of the traits under the study. Per cent heterosis for vegetative, reproductive, yield and fruit quality traits over mid-parent and better parent are presented in Table 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

The data of better parent heterosis (BPH) and mid parent heterosis (MPH) for vegetative and reproductive traits revealed that the petiole length, leaf length, leaf width and number of days required for flower initiation exhibited better parent heterosis in negative direction in all the three hybrids. For the same traits mid parent heterosis was also in negative direction except for the hybrid Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha that showed positive heterosis for petiole length and leaf width whereas the Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 that exhibited positive heterosis for days to flower initiation. Similar observations were also recorded by Kamalkumar *et al.* (6) for days to flowering for two hybrids CO2 × Pusa Giant and CO 5 × 9-1(D). Davamani et al. (5) also noted similar results for all the hybrids under study. Negative heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis were also observed for the plant height at initiation of first flower in two hybrids {Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha and PS 3 × P-7-9} while one hybrid *i.e.*, Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 showed positive heterobeltiosis (7.8%) and relative heterosis (11.34%) for the trait. Negative significant heterosis for plant height at flower initiation was also reported by Kamalkumar et al. (6) and Davamani et al. (5) in some of the hybrids. In case of plant height at first fruit maturity, only one hybrid {Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha} showed negative better parent (-12.93%) and mid parent heterosis (-0.38%) while for other two hybrids it was positive. The trait, stem diameter was noted with significant heterobeltiosis which was positive for the hybrid Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 (2.95%) and negative for the other two hybrids (Table 2).

Data presented in Table 3 for better parent and mid parent heterosis for yield, yield attributing and fruit characters revealed that heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis was observed to be in negative direction in all the hybrids for traits like number of days to fruit maturity, fruit weight, fruit length and fruit diameter. However, other researchers reported positive heterosis for fruit weight, fruit length and fruit diameter. In case of another yield determining trait like fruiting zone, better parent heterosis was negative for all the hybrids, whereas, PS 3 × P-9-5 (0.78%) was the only hybrid to show positive relative heterosis for the trait. Number of fruits per plant being an important yield attributing trait showed positive heterobeltiosis for two hybrids *i.e.*, Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha (2.93%) and PS 3 × P-9-5 (22.13%). For the same trait, lyer and Subramanyam (12), Chan (2), Kamalkumar et al. (6) and Davamani et al. (5) reported significant positive heterosis. In case of fruit cavity, two hybrids *i.e.*, Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha and PS 3 × P-9-5} exhibited heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis in negative direction while it was positive in case of the hybrid Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5. Similar negative heterosis for fruit cavity index was also reported by Kamalkumar et al. (6). For vield, heterosis over the better parent ranged from 12.17% in PS 3 × P-9-5 to -8.49% in Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5, whereas heterosis over mid parent ranged from -6.18% in Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 to 14.57% in Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha. The Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha was the only hybrid to exhibit positive significant heterosis. Positive significant heterosis for yield was also reported by Chan (3), Kamalkumar et al. (6) and Davamani et al. (5).

The heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis data for biochemical properties of fruit presented in Table

Table 1. Mean performance of papaya hybrid	papaya h		and their parent genotypes.	ent genot	/pes.								
Traits		RL (S)	Nd	RL(S) × PN	P-9-5	RL(S) × P-9-5	< PS3	P-7-9	PS3 × P-7-9	Mean		LSD (_{0.05})	C.K
Plant height at flower initiation (cm)	(cm)	86.50	63.75	73.75	81.00	93.25	83.95	96.50	81.75	82.56		4.80	3.33
Plant height at maturity (cm)		205.88	154.00	179.25	197.25	209.50	224.25	224.00	227.25	202.67		11.80	4.25
Petiole length (cm)		83.20	59.25	83.18	96.23	55.95	70.73	80.18	68.68	74.67		1.97	1.78
Leaf length (cm)		76.08	48.23	57.98	63.25	35.20	53.98	55.45	45.38	54.44		1.30	1.62
Leaf width (cm)		73.05	53.88	64.83	66.98	53.45	49.85	55.45	56.60	59.26		1.26	1.43
Stem diameter (cm)		12.50	9.25	10.95	12.70	13.08	13.18	11.83	12.53	12.00		0.93	5.20
Days to flowering		95.75	82.25	84.00	92.25	95.50	99.50	81.75	98.75	91.22		5.53	4.10
Days to fruit maturity		141.75	138.00	133.00	125.50	126.50	137.00	144.25	130.75	134.59		5.84	2.93
Fruiting zone (cm)		117.75	85.63	98.00	120.25	112.25	129.75	124.25	128.00	114.48		12.29	7.25
No. of fruits/ plant		33.52	20.56	34.50	35.17	34.69	36.57	33.87	44.67	34.19		2.76	5.44
Fruit weight (g)	•	1323.75	1160.00	1120.25	1247.00	1123.75	5 1436.25	1199.75	1020.00	0 1203.84		162.47	9.12
Fruit diameter (cm)		31.25	17.93	24.48	30.13	24.48	25.35	30.05	21.33	25.62		1.01	2.66
Fruit length (cm)		24.03	21.48	20.48	25.10	21.65	18.60	24.30	20.93	22.07		1.25	3.82
Fruit cavity index (%)		31.67	30.58	26.81	32.35	34.73	37.63	34.40	24.00	31.52		1.51	3.23
Fruit yield (kg/ plant)		42.98	23.75	38.23	45.20	41.36	52.75	40.43	46.33	41.38		2.76	4.51
TSS (°Brix)		14.53	9.98	11.75	10.05	10.70	13.68	13.50	12.78	12.12		1.54	8.59
Total Phenolic Content (mg/100g)	0g)	3.15	1.85	3.85	6.20	14.50	4.29	5.43	7.63	5.86		1.14	13.18
Total Flavonoid Content (mg/100g)	(60C	23.20	18.50	20.12	19.73	24.15	10.56	19.48	14.30	18.75		3.13	11.23
Antioxidant activity [DPPH (µmol/g)]	[(b/loi	16.89	8.85	38.57	44.78	46.42	29.04	40.62	37.55	32.84		3.77	7.75
Total carotenoids (mg/100g)		4.36	3.52	5.77	4.57	4.31	5.40	6.09	4.31	4.79		0.49	6.92
Lycopene (mg/100g)		5.73	2.66	7.31	1.27	5.79	5.27	3.61	3.78	4.43		0.84	12.83
Fruit firmness (kg cm ⁻¹)		1.89	1.46	1.69	1.79	1.77	1.74	1.90	1.92	1.77		0.09	3.56
Shelf- life (days)		8.00	4.00	6.75	6.75	8.25	7.25	8.00	7.75	7.09		0.99	9.44
RL (S) = Red Lady (Self), PN= Pusa Nanha, PS 3= Pune Selection 3	Nanha, P	S 3= Pune	Selection 3										
Table 2. Per cent heterosis for vegetative and reproductive traits of the papaya hybrids.	vegetativ	/e and re	productive	traits of t	he papaya	I hybrids.							
Genotype	Plant height	eight at	Plant height at	ight at	Petiole length		Leaf length	Leaf width	width	Stem diameter	meter	Days to	s to
													6
	ВРН	МРН	BPH	НЧМ				BPH	МРН	BPH	ΗДΜ	BPH	МРН
Red Lady (S) × Pusa Nanha	-14.73	-1.83	-12.93						2.14	-12.40	0.68	-12.27	-5.61
Red Lady (S) × P-9-5	7.80	11.34	1.76			-37.63 -53	-53.72 -49.47	-26.83	-23.65	2.95	3.76	-0.26	1.59
Pune Selection 3 × P-7-9	-15.28	-15.28	1.33	1.33	-14.34 -1	-14.34 -18	-18.16 -18.16	7	-12.07	-4.93	-4.93	-0.75	-0.75
LSD (_{0.05})	7.9	8.2	11.1	NS	3.5	2.8	1.7 2.3	3.6	3.8	11.1	NS	8.2	7.3

Indian Journal of Horticulture, December 2019

hybrids.
i papaya hi
of the I
ruit traits o
4
l and
attributing
attrib
yield
yield,
s for
: heterosis for yield,
le 3. Per cent
е З.

Table 3. Per cent heterosis for yield, yield attributing and fruit traits of the papaya hybrids.	or yield, y	rield att	ributing	and frui	t traits (of the p	apaya h	ybrids.								
Genotype	Days to fruit	o fruit	Fruiting	j zone	No. of	fruits/	Fruiting zone No. of fruits/ Fruit weight Fruit diameter Fruit length Fruit cavity	veight	Fruit di	ameter	Fruit lé	ength	Fruit c	savity	Fruit yield	rield
	maturity	urity			plant	ant							index	ex		
	BPH MPH	МРН	ВРН	MPH	ВРН	МРН	BPH MPH	MPH	ВРН	MPH	ВРН	МРН	ВРН	MPH	ВРН	MPH
Red Lady (S) × Pusa Nanha -6.17 -4.91	-6.17	-4.91	-16.77	-3.62	2.93	27.58	-16.77 -3.62 2.93 27.58 -15.37 -9.79 -21.68 -0.45 -14.77 -10.00 -15.34 -13.85 -11.05 14.57	-9.79	-21.68	-0.45	-14.77	-10.00	-15.34	-13.85	-11.05	14.57
Red Lady (S) × P-9-5	-10.75	-5.33	-6.65 -5.67	-5.67	-1.37	0.99	-1.37 0.99 -15.10 -12.57 -21.68 -20.24 -13.74 -11.85 7.38 8.52 -8.49	-12.57	-21.68	-20.24	-13.74	-11.85	7.38	8.52	-8.49	-6.18
Pune Selection 3 × P-7-9	-9.35	-7.02	-1.34	0.78	22.13	26.81	-1.34 0.78 22.13 26.81 -28.98 -22.61 -29.03 -23.01 -13.88 -2.44 -36.20 -33.34 -12.17 -0.55	-22.61	-29.03	-23.01	-13.88	-2.44	-36.20	-33.34	-12.17	-0.55
LSD (_{0.05})	NS	NS	NS	NS	11.6	18.3	11.6 18.3 NS NS 4.4 6.7 NS	NS	4.4	6.7	NS	5.8	5.8 8.6 9.0	9.0	NS	9.8
Table 4. Per cent heterosis for fruit quality traits of the papaya.	or fruit qu	ality tre	aits of th	e papa)	/a.											
Genotype	Total soluble Total phenolic Total flavonoid Antioxidant activity	ible Tc	otal pher	Tolic To	otal flavo	A pion	ntioxidan	t activity		Total	Lyco	Lycopene	Fruit fi	rmness	Fruit firmness Fruit shelf	shelf

Table 4. Per cent heterosis for fruit quality traits of the papaya.	for fruit	quality	traits of	the pap	aya.											
Genotype	Total s	soluble	Total p	henolic	Total fla	avonoid	Total soluble Total phenolic Total flavonoid Antioxidant activity	t activity	Total	al	Lycop	Lycopene	Fruit firmness	mness	Fruit shelf	helf
	sol	solids	content	tent	cont	content	(DPPH assay)	assay)	carotenoid	enoid					life	
	ВРН	BPH MPH	ВРН	МРН	BPH	MPH	ВРН	МРН	BPH	MPH	BPH	MPH	МРН ВРН МРН ВРН МРН ВРН МРН		ВРН	MPH
Red Lady (S) × Pusa Nanha -19.13 -4.12 22.22 54	19.13	4.12	22.22	54	-13.27	-3.5	128.36	128.36 199.68 32.33 46.44 67.66 74.26 -10.59 0.82 -15.62 12.50	32.33	46.44	67.66	74.26	-10.59	0.82	-15.62	12.50
Red Lady (S) × P-9-5	-26.35	-12.93	-26.35 -12.93 133.87 210.16	210.16	4.09	12.5	3.66	50.54	-5.68	-3.47 26.7		65.43	-6.49	-3.94	3.12 11.86	11.86
Pune Selection 3 × P-7-9	-6.57	-5.92	-6.57 -5.92 40.51 56.99	56.99	-26.59	-4.79	-7.55	7.8	-29.22	-29.22 24.97 -37.93 -14.86	-37.93		0.92	5.21	-3.12	1.63
LSD (_{0.05})	NS	NS NS	43.4	.3.4 65.1	NS	NS	52.1	49.1	9.6	9.6 10.7 30.1	30.1	33.9	NS	NS	NS	SN
mi as co wa pc ev of tra (S that de R I 1.	cc wa pc	as ar	La ar mi	wł tra	P- te (3	tir wł Re	4 mikin In La (4 mikin In La (5 miki	et Re	Re P-	cc Pi ob	ne sti di	La (S	x ar	La (4 ne	in In	4 mi

4 which indicates the negative better parent and id parent heterosis in all the hybrids for fruit TSS, hereas in case of total phenolic content, heterosis positive direction was observed for all the hybrids. case of total flavonoid content one hybrid (Red ady (Self) × P-9-5) displayed positive heterobeltiosis .09%) and relative heterosis (12.5%) while it was egative for the other two hybrids (Red Lady (Self) Pusa Nanha and PS 3 × P-7-9). Data recorded for ntioxidant activity through DPPH assay, exhibited ositive significant heterobeltiosis in hybrid Red ady (Self) × Pusa Nanha(128.36%) and Red Lady Self) × P-9-5 (3.66%), whereas in case of relative eterosis, it was positive for all the hybrid progenies udied. Significant better parent heterosis in positive rection was observed for the total carotenoid ontent in only one hybrid *i.e.*, Red Lady (Self) × usa Nanha (32.33%) while two of the hybrids were oserved with positive mid parent heterosis i.e., ed Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha (46.44%) and PS 3 × -7-9 (24.97%) for the same trait. Positive heterosis r the same trait was also reported by Kamalkumar al. (6). In case of lycopene content, the hybrids ed Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha and Red Lady (Self) P-9-5 exhibited significant positive heterobeltiosis 7.66% and 26.7%) and average heterosis (74.26%) nd 65.43%) whereas, it was negative for the hybrid S 3 × P-9-5. Heterobeltiosis was positive for fruit mness in only one hybrid *i.e.*, PS 3 × P-7-9 (0.92%), hereas positive mid parent heterosis was noted in ed Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha (0.82%) and PS 3 × -7-9 (5.21%). In case of fruit shelf life at ambient mperature, the hybrid Red Lady (Self) × P-9-5 .12%), showed positive better parent heterosis hereas, in case of relative heterosis for the same ait all the hybrids exhibited positive results.

In the present study, the hybrid combination, Red ady (Self) × Pusa Nanha excelled over their parents nd was recorded with positive heterobeltiosis and id-parent heterosis for several economic traits such s number of fruits per plant, total phenolic content, ntioxidant activity, total carotenoid and lycopene ontent. Further, Red Lady (Self) × Pusa Nanha as the only hybrid combination to be recorded with ositive mid parent heterosis for fruit yield. So, it is vident from the above discussion that the magnitude heterosis was low to moderate for majority of aits under study. The hybrid combination Red Lady Self) × Pusa Nanha was identified with best results at can be further exploited in the papaya hybrid evelopment.

EFERENCES

Anonymous. 2017. Final Area and Production Estimates for Horticulture Crops for (20162017). Indian Horticulture Database.National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India.

- Chan, Y. K. 1992. Progress in plant breeding of F₁ papaya hybrids in Malaysia. *Acta Hort.* 292: 41-49.
- Chan, Y. K. 2002. Heterosis in Eksotika × Sekaki papaya hybrids. J. Trop. Agric. Fd. Sci. 29: 139-144.
- Chang, C.C. and Wu, C.C. 1974. Trials of Hawaiian papaya cultivars in Taiwan and the performance of F₁ hybrids. *J. Taiwan Agric. Res.* 23: 273-83.
- Davamani, J., Balamohan, T.N. and Sudha, R. 2013. Evaluation of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) hybrids for yield and papain recovery. *J. Hort. Sci.* 8: 165-71.
- Kamalkumar, R., Soorianathasundaram, K., Arunkumar, R. and Binodh, A.K. 2010. Assessment of hybrid vigour in tropical papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). Acta Hort. 851: 123-30.
- Musa, K.H., Abdullah, A., Jusoh, K. and Subramaniam, V. 2011. Antioxidant activity of pink flesh guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): Effect of extraction techniques and solvents. *Food Anal. Methods.* 4: 100-107.
- Singh, A. K., Singh, Kanhaiya, Prakash, Jai, Goswami, A. K. and Singh, S. K. (Eds.) 2015. Management of production problems of

horticultural crops for enhancing productivity, Division of Fruits and Horticultural Technology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, pp. 1-147.

- 9. Rao, A.V., Waseem, Z. and Agarwal, S. 1998. Lycopene content of tomatoes and tomato products and their contribution to dietary lycopene. *Food Res. Int.* **31**: 737-41.
- Sheoran, O.P., Tonk, D.S., Kaushik, L.S., Hasija, R.C. and Pannu, R.S. 1998. Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics & Computer Applications by D.S. Hooda & R.C. Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar, pp. 139-143.
- 11. Singleton, V.L., Orthofer, R. and Lamuela-Raventos, R.M. 1999. Analysis of total phenols, other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. *Methods Enzymol.* **29**: 152-78.
- 12. Subramanyam, M.D. and Iyer, C.P.A. 1984. Exploitation of heterosis in papaya. *Indian J. Hort.* **41**: 40-46.
- Zhishen, J., Mengcheng, T. and Jianming, W. 1999. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. *Food Chem.* 64: 555-59.

Received : September 2019; Revised : November, 2019; Accepted : November, 2019