

Variation in the relationship of bloom time petiole nutrient contents with yield in grape cv. Thompson Seedless and its clones

J.N. Kalbhor, Sharad Bhagwat, S.D. Shikhamany^{*}, Sumit¹ and Rameshraddy¹

R&D Division, Maharashtra State Grape Growers' Association, Manjri Farm, Pune 411032, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

A nutritional survey was conducted to study the variation in the association of bloom-time petiole nutrient contents with yield in 'Thompson Seedless' and its clones, *viz.*, '2A', 'Manik Chaman', 'Super Sonaka' and 'SSN', the study showed that considerable variation existed in yield and nutrient contents among the cultivars/clones. Nutrients other than Mo in 'Thompson Seedless', NH_4^-N , and Cu in Clone '2A', Ca in 'Super Sonaka', and P and B in the cultivar 'SSN' were not correlated with yield. Non-significant and negative correlation is attributed to the interaction among the nutrients. Though, the correlation of individual nutrients with yield was poor, their combined effect was high. Nitrogen and Mg in 'Thompson Seedless'; NH_4^-N , P, Fe, and Zn in Clone '2A'; S and B in 'Manik Chaman'; N, NH_4^-N , K, and Zn in 'Super Sonaka'; and N, Ca, and Fe in 'SSN' were associated with reduced yields up to their respective vertex values related to minimum yields, beyond which, the relationship was positive. Such a relationship was attributed to the suppression of their positive effect at lower levels by the relative abundance of their respective antagonistic nutrients. The positive relationship of Mo in 'Thompson Seedless', Cu in Clone '2A', Ca and Mg in 'Super Sonaka', and P and B in 'SSN' indicates the sub-optimal status of these nutrients in the respective cultivars. The results showed the nutrient-specific variation of the tested genotypes.

Key words: Vitis vinifera L., Correlations, Nutrients, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

In India, grape is cultivated in an area of 139 thousand ha with a production of 2,920 thousand MT (NHB, 7), of which 263.1 thousand MT of fresh grapes are exported, earning 305.66 million USD (APEDA, 1). Among the table grapes grown in India, 'Thompson Seedless' is the predominant cultivar. In addition, its clones, namely Clone '2A', 'Manik Chaman', 'Super Sonaka, and 'SSN', are also grown in a sizeable area. Presently, vineyard nutrient management in India for all the cultivars is based on the bloom-time petiole nutrient contents, developed by Sharma et al. (8) for 'Thompson Seedless' on 'Dog Ridge' rootstock. The advantage of petiole nutrient analysis is realized only when the petiole nutrient standards are used as the guidelines. The optimum levels of nutrients for 'Thompson Seedless' may not be applicable to its clones since they differ from the parent variety in vine vigour, fruitfulness, yield, and bunch and berry characters, which are influenced by nutrients. Translocation of ions (Barber and Russell, 3) and organic ions produced (Jacobson and Ordin, 6) in scions can influence the absorption of nutrients due to nutrient interactions to maintain the ionic balance in the plants. The basic requirement for determining the optimum levels of nutrients by regression analysis

is the relationship of nutrients with yield. The nature and degree of correlation can be used to indicate the deficient, sufficient, or excess levels of the nutrients. Hence, the present investigations were carried out to (i) trace the relationship of nutrients with yield in different cultivars, (ii) interference of other nutrients in the yield determination of a nutrient and (iii) determine the nutrient levels associated with maximum/ minimum yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty vineyards each of 'Thompson Seedless', Clone '2A', 'Manik Chaman', 'Super Sonaka', and 'SSN' in the age group of 5-8 years, grafted on 'Dog Ridge' rootstock and raised on vertisols located in the districts of Nashik and Sangli of Maharashtra were surveyed during 2021 fruiting season. Eighty petioles opposite to flower clusters were collected at random from each vineyard during full bloom (Cook and Kishaba, 4). Petiole samples were analysed for nutrients as per the standard analytical procedures. Sodium and chlorides were also estimated because sodium interacts with potash varyingly in potash deficit and high sodium soils (Shikhamany and Sharma, 9), and chlorides suppress the yields (Ayers, 2)

Yield data were recorded from five vines selected at random, and yield/acre was computed based on the number of vines/acre. Correlation matrices among yield and nutrients were evolved in all cultivars. Vertex

^{*}Corresponding author: surupa@fyllo.in

¹R&D Division, Agri hawk Technologies Private Limited, 523, Sector 2, HSR Layout, Bengaluru 560102, Karnataka, India.

of each nutrient was derived by the formula Vertex = -b/2c in the quadratic function Y= a + bx + cx², where 'Y' is yield, 'x' is the nutrient value, 'a' is the intercept, 'b' is coefficient of 'x' and 'c' is coefficient of 'x²'. Correlation and regression analyses were performed using the data analysis package in Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Considerable variation was observed in yield and bloom-time petiole nutrient contents among the cultivars. The coefficient of variation was more than 15 per cent in yield as well as the nutrient contents. Variation in yield was less compared to nutrient contents. The coefficient of variation in yield was the least in 'Thompson Seedless', while highest in 'Super Sonaka'. Variation in the Mo content of 'Thompson Seedless' was highest, while least in 'Manik Chaman' (Table 1). The coefficient of variation was highest in NH₄·N, P, Zn, B, Mo, Na, and CI contents, as opposed to the least variation in yield in 'Thompson Seedless'.

On the other hand, variation in K, Mn, Cu, N, and Cl contents was less compared to the highest variation in yield in 'Super Sonaka'. Contrasting variation in yield and nutrient contents in these varieties had no bearing on the correlations of these nutrients with yield (Table 2), revealing that the variation in petiole nutrient contents was not in accordance with variation in yield. There seems to be an interference in yield determination by individual nutrients, the variation in the role of nutrients in growth and productivity in the cultivars, their inherent variation in growth and productivity, and interaction among nutrients.

Nutrients other than Mo in 'Thompson Seedless', NH₄N and Cu in Clone '2A', Ca in 'Super Sonaka', and P and B in 'SSN' were not correlated with yield in any of the cultivars. While Mo in 'Thompson Seedless', NH, N and Cu in Clone '2A', P and B in 'SSN' were positively related to yield, with Ca negatively in 'Super Sonaka' (Table 2). Though the correlations of individual nutrients with yield were poor, their combined effect was high as indicated by the determination coefficients in the multiple regression analysis (Table 3). All the nutrients together, including Na and CI, accounted for the highest percentage of variation (74.4%) in yield in the cultivar SSN, while least in 'Manik Chaman' (33.5%). The contribution of both major and micronutrients was highest in 'Thompson Seedless' but lowest in 'Manik Chaman' (Table 3). The sum of the percentage contribution of major and micronutrients (B+C) was higher than their combined contribution (A). So also, the sum of macro- and micro-nutrients together (A) and Na and CI together (E) was higher than the combined contribution of macro- and micro-nutrients +Na +Cl (D) - (Table 3), which could be attributed to

the interaction among nutrients. Every nutrient was correlated with other nutrients differently in different cultivars (Table 4), probably due to the overlapping relationship of nutrients with yield. Thus, it was revealed that there is no one-to-one relationship between nutrients and yield. Nutrients influence the yield directly and indirectly through other nutrients.

Among nitrogen fractions, NH¹ N alone had a positive correlation in Clone '2A'. In quadratic analysis, the relationship of NH, N was not significant, indicating the goodness of fit of a linear relationship. Yield decreased with increasing levels of NH, N up to 411 ppm, beyond which the yield increased linearly (Fig.1). Linear relationship of NH, N was not significant with yield in 'Super Sonaka', but the quadratic relationship was significant (Table 5). The vertex at 665 ppm of NH₄-N was associated with the minimum yield of 9.6 t/ acre. An increase in NH, N content above 665 ppm was associated with an increase in yield (Fig. 1). Phosphorus content of petioles had a positive correlation with yield in linear as well as guadratic relationships in the cultivar 'SSN'. The optimum level was 0.35 per cent, corresponding to the yield of 10.04 t/acre (Fig.1). Calcium content of



Fig. 1. Relationship of nutrients with yield in grape genotypes.

	auge, me		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		0	0		2	y lord									
Cultivar		(%) N	N- ON	NH₁-N	٩	×	Ca	Mg	S	Ъе	Mn	Zn	Cu	В	Mo	Na	ō	Yield
			(mdd) (mdd)	(mdd)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(mdd)	(mdd)	(mdd)	(mdd)	(mdd)	(mdd)	(%)	(%)	(t/acre)
Thompson Range		0.82 -	619	89	0.23 -	1.42 -	0.52 -	0.27 -	0.1 -	34 -	31 -	45 -	4.0-97	42 –	0.03 -	0.08 -	0.06 -	8.0 -
Seedless		1.88	-2227		06.0	4.62	2.02	0.66	0.23	324	243	232		132	3.44	0.74	1.05	14.50
	Mean	1.301	1208	711	0.43	2.55	0.99	0.43	0.14	112.0	87.57	85.92	32.64	68.93	0.682	0.33	0.40	12.77
	CV (%)	21.38	38.66	71.76	39.71	28.25	38.18	25.43	19.27	67.95	58.03	43.47	63.03	29.98	116.37	33.20	74.08	17.19
Clone 2A	Range	0.78 -		103 -	0.23 -	1.42 -	0.49 -	0.19 -	0.10 -	47 -	31 -	- 23	3.0 -	44 –	0.37 -	0.15 -	0.12 -	7.0
		2.64	1763	1401	0.73	3.90	2.70	0.86	0.30	395	327	154	56	108	0.52	0.37	0.50	-16.0
	Mean	1.413	883	621	0.381	2.50	0.87	0.33	0.13	116.0	87.92	92.15	35.69	72.38	0.485	0.29	0.19	13.83
	CV (%)	32.55	38.88	67.73	25.59	29.87	49.89	40.09	35.03	57.55	52.30	30.05	52.76	22.34	9.07	23.26	45.95	20.21
Manik	Range	0.82 -		54 -	0.17	1.42 -	0.41 -	0.24 -	0.07 -	36	24 -	41	7.0	44 –	0.37	0.12-	0.12	6.0
Chaman		2.17	•	1194	-0.64	3.80	1.72	0.71	0.17	-182	261	-140	-91	103	-0.57	0.54	-0.56	-18.0
	Mean	1.389	945	578	0.443	2.70	0.82	0.35	0.13	89.9	107.0	69.000	20.933	69.561	0.475	0.32	0.27	13.20
	CV (%)	27.61	33.31	53.70	28.74	24.54	32.70	26.02	19.79	36.39	63.98	33.17	66.15	21.43	8.83	26.51	46.93	21.01
Super	Range	0.9	617	55	0.21	1.57 -	0.50	0.25 -	0.10 -	31 -	25	39	12.0-	43 –	0.35 -	0.18	0.10 -	6.5 -16
Sonaka		-2.03	-1763	-1201	-0.75	3.90	-2.89	0.59	0.17	311	-157	-149	70	107	0.6	-0.49	0.43	
	Mean	1.318	1008	374	0.461	2.67	0.81	0.37	0.13	113.5	83.500	75.313	29.438	68.326	0.461	0.32	0.21	12.88
	CV (%)	27.48	34.16	60.27	29.28	23.59	49.51	25.23	13.79	60.68	39.94	41.99	47.33	23.87	12.36	19.99	42.00	23.08
SSN	Range	0.84 - 2.24	542- 1428	62 -1146	0.15- 0.61	1.45 - 3.50	0.46 -2.78	0.21 -0.47	0.10 -0.16	39 -347	40 -200	32 - 152	3 - 117	43 – 94	0.34 - 0.61	0.12 - 0.37	0.12 - 0.42	6.5 - 14
	Mean	1.347	1034	442	0.441	2.56	0.73	0.34	0.12	68.5	99.231	80.462	41.000	71.953	0.486	0.29	0.19	11.65
	CV (%)	CV (%) 25.27	24.79	56.68	26.28	24.31	58.52	18.82	13.52	68.41	42.08	34.28	64.33	18.56	12.74	23.83	45.79	19.02

Table 1. Range, mean and coefficient of variation in nutrient contents and yield in grape cultivars.

Indian Journal of Horticulture, March 2024

 Table 2. Correlation coefficients (r) of nutrient contents with yield.

Nutrient			Cultivar		
	Thompson	Clone	Mank	Super	SSN
	Seedless	2A	Chaman	Sonaka	
Ν	0.062	0.147	-0.070	0.004	-0.054
NO ₃ -N	0.164	-0.189	0.024	-0.036	0.101
NH_4^-N	0.075	0.369*	-0.039	-0.110	0.101
Р	-0.269	-0.105	-0.024	0.228	0.382*
К	0.014	0.060	0.201	0.151	0.051
Ca	0.220	-0.229	-0.096	-0.369*	-0.136
Mg	0.268	-0.275	0.006	-0.208	-0.076
S	-0.033	-0.196	-0.001	0.035	0.127
Fe	0.143	0.054	-0.063	0.289	-0.359
Mn	-0.106	-0.168	0.161	0.218	0.275
Zn	-0.283	-0.117	0.076	0.039	0.068
Cu	-0.214	0.392*	-0.162	-0.070	0.305
В	-0.020	-0.009	-0.021	-0.191	0.464*
Мо	0.405*	0.062	0.034	-0.082	-0.012
Na	0.169	0.282	0.048	-0.020	-0.012
CI	0.225	-0.103	0.347	-0.165	0.243

r significant at p = 0.05: 0.361 r significant at p = 0.01: 0.463

petioles in 'Super Sonaka' was associated negatively with yield but positively in the quadratic relationship. The vertex at 0.83 per cent Ca was associated with the maximum yield of 11.67 t/acre (Fig.1). Magnesium content was not significantly related to yield in any of the cultivars in a linear relationship. Still, in a quadratic relationship, it was positively correlated in 'Super Sonaka'. The vertex at 0.39 per cent Mg was associated with the maximum yield of 22.08 t/acre.

Among the micronutrients, Cu content was positively related to yield in Clone '2A', B in 'SSN' and Mo in 'Thompson Seedless'. Cu content of 19 ppm was associated with the maximum yield of 10.98 t/acre in Clone '2A', while B content of 94 ppm in 'SSN' and 0.67 ppm Mo in 'Thompson Seedless' were associated respectively with the maximum yield of 9.2 and 11.31 t/acre . Though the linear relationship of B content with yield was not significant in 'Manik Chaman', the quadratic relationship was significant but negative. The vertex at 73 ppm of B corresponded to the minimum yield of 10.24 t/acre (Fig.1).

A non-significant relationship of nutrients with yield implies that they are inadequate or more than adequate range. Increasing levels of N and Mg in 'Thompson Seedless'; P, Fe and Zn in Clone '2A'; B in 'Manik Chaman'; N, NH,-N, K and Zn in 'Super Sonaka'; and N, Ca and Fe in 'SSN' were associated with reduced yields up to their respective vertex values but increased yields after that (Fig. 1). This can be attributed to the masking effect of the interrelationship among nutrients. The positive impact of any nutrient at its lower level seems to have been masked by its positive relationship or suppression by the negative relationship with other nutrients. Thus, the non-significant relationship of N with yield in 'Thompson Seedless' can be attributed to its positive relationship with NO₂-N, NH₄-N, Mg and Cu, while with NH, N and P in 'Super Sonaka' and Cu in the cultivar 'SSN'. Similarly, the non-significant relationship of NH, N with yield in 'Super Sonaka' was masked by its positive relationship with P and Mo. The phosphorusyield relationship in Clone '2A' was suppressed by its antagonism with Zn. The relationship of K with yield was masked by its positive relationship with S and Zn in 'Super Sonaka'. Calcium-yield relationship in 'SSN' was masked by Mg but suppressed by Na. The nonsignificant relationship of Mg in 'Thompson Seedless' could be due to its positive relationship with S and CI (Table 4). Physiological bases for the interaction among nutrients are (i) the relative abundance of nutrients in the root media, (ii) the relative rate of adsorption of nutrients onto the root surface, (iii) antagonism among similarly charged nutrient ions due to substitution and (iv) synergism between differently charged ions for maintaining ionic equilibrium in plant tissues (Fagaria, 5). The reason for the differences

Table 3. Yield determination (R²) by petiole nutrient contents in grape cultivars.

Nutrient			Cultivar		
	Thompson Seedless	Clone 2A	Manik Chaman	Super Sonaka	SSN
A. Major and micro nutrients	0.474	0.628	0.182	0.517	0.68
B. Major nutrients	0.257	0.293	0.077	0.219	0.278
C. Micro nutrients	0.246	0.195	0.085	0.141	0.365
D. Major and micro nutrients + Na + Cl	0.476	0.642	0.335	0.522	0.744
E. Na + Cl	0.061	0.011	0.121	0.028	0.061

Indian Journal of Horticulture, March 2024

Nutrient	Thompson Seedless	Clone 2A	Manik Chaman	Super Sonaka	SSN	Sharad Seedles
N	NO ₃ -N (0.455*) NH ₄ -N (0.516**) Mg (0.482**) Cu (0.420*)	NO ₃ -N (-0.405*) K (-0.451*) Ca (-0.579**) Mg (-0.549**) S (0.712**) Zn (0.39*)	Mo (-0.386*) Cl (-0.388*)	NH ₄ -N (0.551**) P (0.624**)	Cu (0.418*)	P (0.381*)
NO ₃ -N	Mg (0.426*) Mo (0.451*) Cl (0.797**)	Ca (0.576**) Mg (0.502**) Mn (0.394*) Na (-0.374*)		K (0.451*) S (0.486**) Cu (0.0.378)		
NH ₄ -N	P (0.551**) Mg (0.564**) S (0.606**)		Mn (0.369*) Zn (0.367*)	P (0.494**) Mo (0.384*)		
Þ	Ca (-0.486**) S (0.695**) Fe (-0.394*) Cu (0.436*) B (-0.368*)	Zn (-0.379*)	Ca (-0.376*) Mg (-0.414*)			
<	Mo (0.543**)			S (0.513**) Zn (0.381*)		
Ca		Mg (0.909**) Mn (0.663**) Cl (0.584**)	Mg (0.538**)	Mg (0.576**)	Mg (0.545**) Na (-0.418*)	Mg (0.614**) Mn (-0.385*)
Иg	S (0.458*) Cl (0.411*)	S (-0.393*) Mn (0.738**) Cl (0.448*)	Mn (0.384*)		Zn (0.38*)	Mo (0.369*)
6	Fe (-0.396*) Cu (0.548**) Cl (0.368*)	Zn (0.463**) Cu (-0.431*)			Na (-0.402*)	
e			B (-0.366*)			Mo (0.478**)
Иn	Zn (0.367*)	CI (0.508**)	Zn (0.548**) B (-0.481**)		Zn (0.361*)	Zn (0.613**) Cu (0.681**)
Zn			B (-0.377*)		CI (0.409)	Cu (0.51**)
Cu			CI (-0.423*)			
3	CI (-0.423)	Na (0.663**)				
Мо	CI (0.518**)					CI (0.431*)

Table 4. Interrelationships among nutrients in different cultivars.

Nutrient	Cultivar	Function	R ²	X-Opt	Y-Max (t/acre)	Y-Min (t/acre)
NH ₄ -N	Super Sonaka	Y= 13.75 -0.012X +8.65E-06X ²	0.149*	665		9.60
	Clone 2A	Y= 10.63 +0.004X -2E-06X ²	0.043	928	12.62	
Р	SSN	Y= 8.22 +3.457X +4.921X ²	0.147*	0.351	10.04	
Ca	Super Sonaka	Y= 5.47 +14.9X -8.952X ²	0.190*	0.832	11.67	
Mg	Super Sonaka	Y= 5.06 +88.23X -114.36 X ²	0.209*	0.386	22.08	
Cu	Clone 2A	Y= 11.26 -0.028X +0.0007X ²	0.178*	19	10.98	
В	Manik Chaman	Y= 33.66 -0.642X +0.0044X ²	0.219**	73		10.24
	SSN	Y= 3.73 +0.124X -0.0007X ²	0.207*	94	9.20	
Мо	Thompson Seedless	Y= 10.2 +1.741X -0.1306X ²	0.165*	0.67	11.31	

in the interactions among nutrients in cultivars could be due to the variation in their synthesis of organic ions, as explained earlier.

The positive relationship of P and B in 'SSN', Ca and Mg in 'Super Sonaka', Cu in Clone '2A', and Mo in 'Thompson Seedless' indicates the sub-optimal status of these nutrients in their respective cultivars. An increase in their status to optimum levels (Table 5) can help maximise yield.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization of research (SDS, S); Designing of the experiments (SDS, JNK, SB); Contribution of experimental materials (JNK, SB); Execution of field/ lab experiments and data collection (R, SB); Analysis of data and interpretation (S, SDS); Preparation of the manuscript (SDS, R).

DECLARATION

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are highly grateful to the grape growers of Nashik and Sangli districts of Maharastra, India, from whose vineyards the petioles were sampled, for their help and cooperation in collecting the petiole samples and yield data, and to the office bearers of Maharashtra State Grape Growers' Association for facilitating the survey and petiole analysis.

REFERENCES

1. APEDA. 2023. https://apeda.gov.in/apedawebsite /SubHead-Products/Grapes.htm

- 2. Ayers, R.S. 1977. Quality of water for irrigation. *J. Irrig. Drain. Div.* **103**: 135-54.
- 3. Barber, DA and Russell, R.S. 1961. The relationship between metabolism and exchangeability of ions in plant tissues. *J. Expt. Bot.* **12**: 252-60.
- Cook, J.A. and Kishaba. 1956. Petiole nitrate analysis as a criterion of nitrogen needs in California Vineyards. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 68: 131-40.
- 5. Fagaria, V.D. 2001. Nutrient interactions in crop plants. *J. Plant Nutri.* **24**: 1269-90.
- 6. Jacobson, I. and Ordin, L. 1954. Organic acid metabolism and ion absorption in roots. *Plant Physiol.* **29**: 70-75.
- NHB. 2018. Horticultural statistics at a glance. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, pp. 9.
- Sharma, J., Shikhamany, S.D., Singh, R.K. and Raghupathi, H.B. 2005. Diagnosis of nutrient imbalance in Thompson Seedless grape grafted on Dog Ridge rootstock by DRIS. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 36: 2823-38.
- 9. Shikhamany, S.D. and Sharma, J. 2008. Interaction of sodium and potassium and potassium use efficiency in Thompson Seedless grape. *Acta Hortic.* **785**: 373-78.

Received : July 2023; Revised : March 2024; Accepted : March 2024